

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_122694
Title of the Manuscript:	Building Effective Values Educators: The Role of Emotional Intelligence and Self-Efficacy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The manuscript has significant contribution to emotional intelligence construct 2.The manuscript clearly shows the value of emotional intelligence to teachers 3.Manuscript has used valid and reliable research instruments for both emotional intelligence and instructional efficacy 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Building Effective Values Educators: The Role of Emotional Intelligence and Instructional Efficacy	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Write abstract as one paragraph, not numbering 2. must be in past tense since the study has already been done 3.Show target and sample population 4.add recommendations/contribution to theory and practice 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, though too much numbering can be reduced by merging some sub-sections	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The study has empirical contribution to emotional intelligence related researches 2.The study has used notable measurement scale of emotional intelligence relevant to educators 3.The research findings can be used to inform curriculum developments experts in the education sector to include emotional intelligence in pedagogy course for educators 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please quote Daniel Goleman in introduction and literature review as the 'father of emotional intelligence' (though it was first coined by John Mayer and Peter Salovey) and justify why you did not pick some of the traits /competencies he originally suggested and now added some recently	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Add EI theoretical framework 2. Are you emphasizing Instructional efficacy, Instructional self- efficacy or Self-efficacy? Doe a teacher with high Self-efficacy automatically influence his /her instructional process? 3. Add rrecommendations / Contribution to theory and practice? 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Osieko Matti
Department, University & Country	Jomo Kenyatta University of Science and Technology, Kenya