

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_122694
Title of the Manuscript:	Building Effective Values Educators: The Role of Emotional Intelligence and Self-Efficacy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The abstract presents a compelling overview of a study evaluating the Direct Health Facility Financing (DHFF) mechanism's impact on health insurance enrollment and service quality in Tanzania's Dodoma Region. The research addresses a critical issue—how to improve the quality of care in public health facilities, which is central to achieving Universal Health Coverage (UHC). The introduction of DHFF, allowing health facilities to procure essential medicines and equipment directly when faced with stock outs, appears to be a pragmatic solution to circumvent some of the bureaucratic inefficiencies that have historically plagued the Tanzanian healthcare system.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The study's mixed-methods approach, employing an explanatory sequential design, is well-suited for capturing both quantitative and qualitative dimensions of the issue. The involvement of both health insurance beneficiaries and key informants provides a well-rounded perspective, enriching the study's findings. The reported increase in health insurance enrollment and perceived improvements in service quality are encouraging, suggesting that DHFF has had a positive impact in the Dodoma Region. The emphasis on enhanced medicine availability, upgraded infrastructure, and improved healthcare provider attitudes aligns with the broader goals of UHC, where access and quality are equally prioritized.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>However, the abstract also acknowledges the persisting challenges, particularly with the Medical Store Department's (MSD) inefficiencies in notifying health facilities about out-of-stock items. This is a crucial point, as the success of DHFF seems partly dependent on the reliability of the MSD's supply chain management. The recommendation to enhance MSD's operations is well-founded and essential for sustaining the positive changes observed.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>One notable strength of the study is its recognition of the need for future research across multiple regions. Tanzania's diverse cultural landscape could significantly influence health insurance participation and service quality, making it essential to understand how DHFF performs in varying contexts. Expanding the research would also allow for a more comprehensive assessment of the factors influencing health insurance enrollment and the sustainability of DHFF's benefits.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>In summary, the abstract outlines a well-conceived and executed study with significant implications for health policy in Tanzania. While the initial results are promising, particularly in terms of increased enrollment and service quality improvements, addressing the challenges related to supply chain management will be critical for the long-term success of the DHFF mechanism. Future research expanding beyond the Dodoma Region is essential for understanding the broader applicability of these findings and for refining strategies to achieve UHC in Tanzania.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Munawar Hameed Mangalwala</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Karachi Institute of Economics and Technology (KIET), Pakistan</p>