

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_127369
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Soil Amendments and Biofertilizers on Pisum sativum Plant in Sandy Soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Manuscript is important especially for the Egyptian nation as it reveals from literature that pea plant (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) is one of its most important export and cash crops. The results of the study are of utmost importance as they demonstrate that biofertilizers improve soil fertility, plant growth parameters and yield by increasing nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (NPK) content and uptake in plants and grains instead of chemical fertilizers which have adverse effects on crops, soil and the environment. Thus the author recommends the use of an integrated combination of both biofertilizers with feldspar soil amendments to improve pea plant. He also suggests that it can be cost-effective if combined in order to achieve higher yield with greater quality on a sustainable basis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive BUT the first sentence is too long. NPK should be writing in full before abbreviating. Also, remove the frame	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound given that it explored different methodological approach relative to those of other related studies. That is from field experiment to data collections and finally to the statistical analysis of this data. The results of the study are equally well presented scientifically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments	The English language quality of the article is very suitable for scholarly communications	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spacing • Adjust figures and titles to fit on same page • Formatting: Using Arial 10 and Times New Roman 10 on same article after Table 2 • Abbreviations should be defined at first use. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Che Jane Nchangwi
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon