

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_115496
Title of the Manuscript:	RESULTS OF SURGICAL TREATMENT OF DISTAL HUMERUS FRACTURES: ABOUT 100 CASES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, an important and technically demanding region	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	About 100 cases does not seem precise. Exact number of cases should be mentioned	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	YES	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	A few references from 1997 etc do not seem valuable, too old to cite.	
Minor REVISION comments	A few grammatical and composition errors found and highlighted for correction/improvement	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Good effort	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Muhammad Tayyab Hassan
Department, University & Country	Dar As Sihha Medical Center, Saudi Arabia