

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127431
Title of the Manuscript:	CLASSROOM MANAGER COMMUNICATION ABILITIES AND SOCIAL INTERACTION SKILLS OF LANGUAGE TEACHERS IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript addresses the communication abilities and social interaction skills of language teachers, emphasizing their role in classroom management. This study contributes significantly to the field of education by highlighting how these skills can enhance teaching effectiveness and foster inclusive learning environments. Its findings provide practical recommendations for improving teacher-student relationships and professional development programs for educators.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title, "Classroom Manager Communication Abilities and Social Interaction Skills of Language Teachers in Public Secondary Schools," is appropriate and reflective of the study's content.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is comprehensive and summarizes the research aim, methods, key findings, and implications effectively.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The manuscript is well-structured, with clear subsections for introduction, methodology, results, and conclusion.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust and methodologically sound. The study employs appropriate statistical tools like Pearson's r and provides a logical interpretation of results. The discussion effectively ties findings to existing literature, demonstrating alignment with established theories such as Bandura's and Vygotsky's frameworks. This reinforces the validity of the research and its contribution to the field.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and recent, with citations from 2020-2024 being prevalent. To strengthen the manuscript, you could include more studies focusing on teacher communication skills in multicultural classrooms, particularly in Southeast Asia, to contextualize the findings further.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The manuscript's language is suitable for scholarly communication. However, minor grammatical refinements and consistent usage of terms like "communication abilities" versus "skills" can improve readability.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Aftab Alam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maulana Azad National Urdu University, India</b>