

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_127404
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a Comprehensive Pollen and Spore Catalog Using Advanced Laboratory Techniques: Case Study of Contemporary Dried and Fresh Flower Specimens from Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study focuses on the morphological characteristics of pollen and spores, particularly those of different plant groups and species. By developing pollen catalog helps to clarify the present palynological environment and enables to identify the ancient palynological zone and paleo-environment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is special type of effort and it is important for palynological study and enables to identify the ancient palynological zone and paleo-environment of particular species.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes Some minor revision is required which is mentioned in the manuscript	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Discussion is to be revised because in the entire paper the explanation is on development of a Comprehensive Pollen and Spore Catalog Using Advanced Laboratory Techniques but the discussion is only on its ecological Significance but not on development of Pollen and Spore Catalog, it should include finding that should support the present work. Please include supporting studies &/or finding that focus or substantiate your work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saresh N V
Department, University & Country	Agriculture University Jodhpur, India