

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_127279
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Viability and Production Efficiency of Piggery Enterprises in Bengaluru: A Comprehensive Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the financial viability and production efficiency of piggery breeding and fattening operations in Bengaluru, a topic of significant relevance to both agricultural economics and sustainable livestock management. The rigorous analysis of financial metrics such as Net Present Worth (NPW), Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR), and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) offers a clear evaluation of the potential returns from pig farming, which could inform investment decisions in the region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its use of well-established financial evaluation techniques, such as Net Present Worth (NPW), Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR), and Internal Rate of Return (IRR), which are widely recognized in economic analysis. The application of these metrics provides a reliable assessment of the financial viability of piggery breeding and fattening farms.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.M.N.T.K.Bandara
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Education, Sri Lanka