

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_127279</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Financial Viability and Production Efficiency of Piggery Enterprises in Bengaluru: A Comprehensive Study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Livestock serves as an indispensable component of the agricultural landscape in the world. The study conducted in Bengaluru, covering Bengaluru urban and rural districts, examined the structure of piggery farms, costs and returns and production efficiency of piggery enterprises. The primary data was collected from 35 piggery farmers, 30 retailers, 10 traders, 5 processors, and 120 consumers. The study was carried out using multi-stage random sampling technique, to draw the samples from the study area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title captures the essential component of the study. Hence it is a suitable title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The problem statement, and research gap that informed the study should be included in the abstract to make it robust	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study employed multi-stage random sampling to cover a wide range from the study area. The inclusive nature and depth of research makes the study key contributor to body of knowledge. However, the introduction is lacking sufficient references. Previous studies need to be discussed in detail to outline the research gap. Authors are encouraged to include recent (2024 to 2022) and relevant older articles. Also, the equations should be numbered and referred to in-text	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Authors need to include more references in the introduction and used recent references to discuss the results.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Looks okay	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chijioke Paul Agupugo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Appalachian State University, USA</b>