

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127147
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study of Decadal Labour Share for Indian Manufacturing Industries
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article could play a significant role for the entire scientific community and policy makers to understand about the growth trend of Labour Share in Indian Manufacturing Industries on the basis of which a useful policy framework could be developed. However, the article did not highlight upon the relevance and policy implication of this topic. The author did not talk about why this particular topic has been chosen. We also have primary and tertiary sector with significant labour force participation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Understanding Decadal Growth Pattern of Labour Share in Indian Manufacturing Industries	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs major work. The first part of abstract should talk about background of the chosen topic, second part should talk about its importance and relevance along with why such topic has been chosen. The third part should focus upon methodology and the last part should be end with result discussion, and policy implication for the economy. All the discussed aspect should be cover within 150-200 words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, it also requires many changes. The author is required to throw lights upon theory, background and importance of labour participation in the manufacturing sector. The second section should be literature Review, the author needs to work more in proper description of past work and how past work is paving the way for research gap. The third section should be methodology, the fourth section should be result discussion and findings and the last section should be conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology needs to be robust and correct and technically sound because mere explaining about how you calculated RWW and RNVA is insufficient. You have to show how you derive such values and the calculation of labor share demands why you have chosen in order to ascertain decadal growth. Is it your contribution or you have taken such process from other's work. The credit to other author must be provided or it should be cited in methodology section. Even the figures are not being explained properly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The author is required to review more recent papers. There is a need to work upon in-text citation style.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I found spelling mistakes like wedge is written instead of wage. and this article lacking proper scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the article needs serious major work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anita Prasad
Department, University & Country	Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Studies, India