

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127043
Title of the Manuscript:	The Entrepreneurial Mindset: Views and Perspectives of Cacao Entrepreneurs on Innovation and Entrepreneurship in Bicol Region, Philippines
Type of the Article	SURVEY CUM DESCRIPTIVE, WHEREAS THE RESEARCHER USED BOTH FORMS OF DATA, QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This evidence-based article is logically constructed, and on the basis of a formulated questionnaire, the researcher concludes. Therefore, its article meets this journal's academic integrity and standard for publication policy. Another perspective I can suggest is that this article enlightens us on the serious issues of the style of entrepreneurs. Based on the methodology, there is a well-rounded data analysis with a graphical representation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, to my knowledge, it was good enough to create a new concept and ideas regarding title formulation. The title is coined size and clearly stated.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is well done. It delved into three aspects: the study's objectives, methodology, and findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, all the points are good, but APA in-text citations should be more careful, and sentence-making should be checked.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nowadays, entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship have become very emerging issues for ensuring empowerment, keeping the changing paradigm as our main goal for focusing on inclusive and equitable goals. Therefore, it's very common to provide education through skills, and through the educational process, we must proceed towards skilled individuals. Hence, this article also focuses on capacity building among students so that they can able to job creators rather than job seekers, which is an essential and challenging task that needs to rethink and rebuild our strategies for entrepreneurship education that promotes, create, innovate, and sustained world of work; in this context, this article is essential.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please follow the APA referencing format, and need to relook at the in-text citation according to APA 7 th edition.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable, but researchers need to concentrate on grammatical errors and sentence construction.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laxmiram Gope
Department, University & Country	Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University, India