

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_124920
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Study of Adverse Drug Effects/Adverse Drug Reactions in Patients with Obsessive Compulsive Disorder</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is regarding a topic, that we have a lot of evidence about it! Adverse effects of drugs used in treatment of OCD in known and this study does not add any new information about the topic!	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable, the author (s) used two terms in the title, separate by / ! They should only use one of them. Suitable title, E.g.: A Study of Adverse Drug Effects in Patients with Obsessive Compulsive Disorder	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It needs editing, including: using abbreviations without long forms (OPD, ADRs), in methods the tools used and statistical analysis do not mentioned, in conclusion they summarized results not conclusion of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is not suitable for a scientific journal, it needs many changes and improvement.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Few references used, some of them are very old (7, 12, 22).	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No! Poorly Written!</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Comments regarding any sections:</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The definition of OCD is so long, it should be shorten..</li><li>- They do not write rationale of the study nor the aims!? They are the main points in Introduction!</li><li>- Commonly used SSRIs and drugs used as adjunctive therapy should mention in introduction!</li><li>- In many places the doe not cite any reference.</li><li>- At page 2, Why 2 different average for the onset of OCD are mentioned, which one is correct?!</li></ul> <p>Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Statistical analysis not mentioned, even does not done for the numbers!</li><li>- How the data collected is missing? The authors mentioned that the study is prospective how they did it? How long the assessments intervals? Who did it?</li><li>- The setting needs some explanation about the city and the hospital, including population, services, wards, ... the reader does not know!</li><li>- How the sample size calculated?!</li><li>- Why they excluded below 18 and above 60 years old? The rationale behind it?!</li><li>- The tools use for assessment has many problems, including; What is the language of the scales?! What's about their validity and reliability of them? Which demographic data taken? A short description of the scales is required! Long form of the scales are required, only one scale used for assessment of adverse effects.</li></ul> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- They do not use any statistical method! Only numbers are mentioned! This is not acceptable in a scientific work! I do not know the results are significant or not?! P value?!</li><li>- In sociodemographic results, the author (s) write a large text, it is not suitable. The scientific one is that the important results are mentioned in the text and referring the reader to the table.</li><li>- The write 51 – 60 years old as old!? Really Above 60 regarded as elderly.</li><li>- Which drugs used by patients is one of the important variable! It is missed!</li></ul> <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- it is looks to be summarization of the results not discussion!</li><li>- The Results are not discussed. Why this results happen not explained by evidences.</li><li>- Implications and limitations are not mentioned!</li></ul> <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- It is also results not conclusion.</li><li>- This sentence: (YBOCS score assessment showed significant improvement in OCD symptoms by the drugs prescribed) are not part of the study and not mentioned anywhere in the study?!</li></ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jaafar Omer Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Soran University, Iraq</b>