

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126426
Title of the Manuscript:	Life Form Spectrum and Phytoclimatic Analysis of Bassi Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan, India
Type of Article :	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Abstract: In the yellow-highlighted section, you need to cite some references that were used for determining the flora. Providing references will strengthen the credibility of your findings and give context to your work. Be specific about which sources you are referring to and how they influenced your methods for flora determination.</p> <p>Study Area: In the section you added, you need to be more precise. The information you provided is overly general and only cites one reference, which is not sufficient. It is important to give more detailed, specific information about the study area, such as geographical features, climate, and other relevant aspects. In scientific writing, a more thorough explanation is required to enable the reader to understand the context of your study. Also, in the part of the text I highlighted in red, you need to be concise and precise while also citing references for each claim or observation. It's essential to review scientific articles on the same topic to ensure your presentation is methodical and well-supported by existing literature.</p> <p>Results: The results are not presented clearly. You need to better showcase your findings using tools such as histograms, graphs, photos, or other forms of classification (e.g., species, family, genus, biological types, etc.). These visual aids will help convey the data more effectively. Reading articles on similar topics will provide insights into how to present your data in a clearer and more organized manner. There is a wealth of literature on the same subject that could help guide your presentation style.</p> <p>Discussion: The discussion is currently insufficient. Since the results are poorly presented and not fully highlighted, the discussion cannot effectively analyze the findings. You should go into more detail and compare your results with those of other researchers working on similar topics. A strong discussion is critical for positioning your work within the broader field of study and showing how your findings contribute to or challenge existing</p>	<p>Provided references.</p> <p>Updated as per your suggestions.</p> <p>Revised as per the suggestions</p> <p>Updated and revised the discussion part</p>

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

knowledge.

Conclusion:

The conclusion needs to be expanded upon based on the feedback I gave you during the first review. You should reflect on the implications of your results in more depth and tie them back to the literature and objectives of your study.

References:

The references section is fine. However, ensure that the references you include are relevant, up-to-date, and properly formatted according to the required style for your article.

My comments and instructions:

You must follow the comments mentioned in the first review and take into account the remarks highlighted in red in the article (attached to the evaluation).

Updated

Okay, updated.

My sincere thanks to the reviewer for critical review, valuable inputs, constructive suggestions, which have greatly contributed to improving the manuscript