

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_127231
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF THE ACUPUNCTURE ON PERFORMANCE, AND PHYSIOLOGICAL MARKERS OF ATHLETES: A CROSSOVER CONTROL TRIAL
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article topic is relevant. But Acupuncture is not currently a scientifically proven method In this regard, in our opinion, the author should give in the discussion his assumption about the physiological basis of acupuncture, which is currently unclear. The article does not have a "Conclusions" section. The author indicates that he used a method of checking the sample for normal distribution, but does not provide the results of the check.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I like this topic, as acupuncture is now not a scientifically proven method, but in practice it is shown as useful. Therefore scientific researches on this topic are relevant.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<u>Yes</u>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<u>Yes</u>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<u>Conclusions section is lost.</u>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Acupuncture is not currently a scientifically proven method.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Acupuncture is not currently a scientifically proven method. In this regard, in our opinion, the author should give in the discussion his assumption about the physiological basis of acupuncture, which is currently unclear. The article does not have a "Conclusions" section. The author indicates that he used a method of checking the sample for normal distribution, but does not provide the results of the check.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zhanneta Kozina
Department, University & Country	H.S. Skovoroda Kharkiv National Pedagogical University, Ukraine