

Review Article

Physiological responses of Vegetables in changing climate

ABSTRACT

The ever-growing human population and substantial decline in productive land make the world's food production at risk. Vegetables are rich source of vitamins, carbohydrates, minerals, proteins and phytochemicals, especially antioxidants. They are the best means of overcoming micronutrient deficiencies and provide higher incomes and more jobs per hectare to small holder farmers than staple crops. Most of the vegetables that humans rely on for nutrition and energy use the C3 pathway and these crops are expected to consume less water and yield more harvestable goods than C4 crops. The positive direct effect of increase in carbon dioxide on agricultural productivity, however, may be countered by other climate change impacts, such as increased temperature and changing precipitation patterns. Major challenges faced by vegetable growers are increased terminal heat stress, rainfall variability leading to flooding, drought, irrigation water availability, salinity, incidence of pests and diseases. A rapid increase in temperature as well as unpredictable precipitation at any stage of the crop growth can alter the normal growth, flowering, pollination, and fruit development, ultimately diminishing crop production in future. In a warmer, high carbon dioxide environment, changes in food quality are to be expected, such as lower protein and mineral nutrient concentrations and changed lipid composition. In order to sustain the vegetable production, breeding programs focusing on identifying genotypes with higher yield and quality under stress conditions should be streamlined for ensuring food security.

Keywords: Climate change, crop physiology, temperature rise, elevated CO₂, salinity, precipitation

Abbreviations: . Elevated CO₂(eCO₂), Free-Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE), Net photosynthetic rate(Pn), stomatal conductance(gs)

INTRODUCTION

Climate change is one of the significant challenges that plant scientists currently facing. It has resulted in erratic rainfall, rising temperatures, change in weeds, pests, microbes, fluctuations in atmospheric carbon dioxide and ozone levels and variation in sea level. The agricultural production system is affected both directly and indirectly by the changing climate and thereby influencing food security. Direct effects include the effect on production systems brought by a change in temperature levels and rainfall distribution. When changes are made to other species, such as pollinators, pests, disease vectors, and invading species, it has an indirect effect on production. Thus crop production and climate change are intrinsically linked affecting the global food production.

According to the Global Monitoring Lab's annual report, the average amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere has reached 417.06 ppm in 2022 and the mean rise in atmospheric carbon dioxide between 2021 and 2022 was 2.13 ppm, marking the 11th year in a row where the increase was greater than 2 ppm (NOAA, 2023). The consequences of elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide and associated increase in temperature and precipitation variations were anticipated to have impacts on the distribution, relationships, and ecophysiology of plants (IPCC, 2014). The longer elevated carbon dioxide exposure may result in photosynthetic adaptation because of an increase in soluble sugars, which leads to an imbalanced C: N ratio, faster leaf senescence, and/or limited growth rate, which affect crop yield and quality. It is believed that crop quality is a multifaceted and a complex process that involves growth, assimilation, partitioning, storage, pre- and post-harvest, as well as nutritional, technological and environmental components (Hay & Porter, 2006).

Extreme weather events and variations in seasonal climatic conditions impacted rainfed traditional agriculture and home garden food production systems in rural areas, leaving people more vulnerable to food and nutrition insecurity (IPCC, 2014). The land and ocean combined global surface temperature data (1880–2019) from the NOAA shows a change of 13.9°C compared to the 1901–2000 average. As temperatures continue to warm, global average precipitation will also increase by the end of the century. This increase is not, however, expected to be distributed evenly around the globe or throughout the seasons in a given year. Many parts of the world could experience increase in the frequency and intensity of extreme, heavy rainfall events, and in other parts of the world, dry conditions may become more severe and last longer. It may be noted that many areas, especially in low- and mid-

latitude regions, are expected to suffer from more frequent and more severe droughts (IPCC Working Group I, 2021).

Vegetables are rich source of vitamins, carbohydrates, minerals and proteins. They are the best means of overcoming micronutrient deficiencies and vegetable farming provides much higher incomes and more jobs per hectare to small holder farmers than staple crops. After China, India is the second-largest producer of vegetables worldwide (www.fao.org). According to the National Horticulture Database's (3rd Advance Estimates) report, India produced 204.84 million metric tonnes of vegetables from an area of 11.35 million hectares (www.apeda.gov.in). Vegetables can be classified as fruit-vegetables such as tomato, cucumber, watermelon, peas; roots and tuber vegetables such as carrot, potato, sweet potato, radish, elephant foot yam; green leafy vegetables like amaranth, celery, cabbage, curry leaves and bulb vegetables like onion and garlic (Abewoy, D, 2018). Unfortunately these crops are highly susceptible to unpredictable weather conditions and are highly perishable. Vegetable cultivation becomes unprofitable due to crop failures, low yields, poor quality, and an increase in pests and diseases caused by changing climatic conditions (Lal *et al.*, 2014). They are sensitive to environmental extremes viz., high temperatures and limited soil moisture. These extremes affect many physiological and biochemical processes like reduced photosynthetic activity, altered metabolism and enzyme activity, tissue heat damage, reduced pollination, and fruit production, etc., which will be exacerbated by climate change (Abewoy, D. 2018). In the context of climate change major challenges faced by vegetable farmers are crop failures, low yield, decreased quality, and an increase in pest and disease incidence. Besides these, other primary limiting factors hindering the vegetable production are decreased irrigation water supply, floods, salts etc. Hence crop physiologists need to better consider the needs of breeders and processors in this situation by evaluating, characterizing, and simulating variations in food quality among crop varieties and species under scenarios of climate change (Hay & Porter, 2006).

CO₂ fertilisation effect on C3 and C4 vegetables

Carbon dioxide is one of the greenhouse gases which has significant direct effects on plant development, physiology, and chemistry (Ziska, 2008). The elevated carbon dioxide

condition will affect growth and yield of both C₃ and C₄ plants by enhancing the net photosynthetic rate (Kimball *et al.* 2002; Reddy *et al.* 2010) and improving water use efficiency (Long *et al.*, 2004). Around 96% of a normal plant's dry matter is made up of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen absorbed into organic molecules during photosynthesis (Marschner, 1995). Hence, photosynthesis is at the core of plant's nutritional metabolism and increasing the amount of carbon dioxide available for photosynthesis can have a significant impact on plant growth. According to Ainsworth & Rogers (2007) the development of plants under increased carbon dioxide concentrations of 475–600 ppm results in an average 40% increase in leaf photosynthetic rates. The opening of stomata through which plants exchange gases with the environment are regulated by carbon dioxide concentrations. The stomatal pores in plants close when carbon dioxide concentrations are higher than ambient and they open when carbon dioxide concentrations are lower. Water may flow out of leaves through open stomata which also allow carbon dioxide to permeate into leaves for photosynthesis. So plants can maintain a high photosynthetic rates with low stomatal conductance at higher carbon dioxide concentrations. Since photosynthesis and stomatal behaviour are central to plant metabolism, growing plants under elevated carbon dioxide has a variety of secondary effects on plant physiology. The availability of additional photosynthesis allows most plants to grow faster and produce dry matter under increased levels. Here elevated carbon dioxide (eCO₂) has been widely employed as a gas fertiliser in the growing of greenhouse vegetables, especially in recent years (Bisbis *et al.*, 2018). But the positive direct impact of elevated carbon dioxide may be countered by other climatic change effects like increased temperature, higher tropospheric ozone concentrations, and altered precipitation patterns.

Greenhouse vegetables have a very positive effect on carbon dioxide enrichment by increasing dry mass, plant height, production of leaves and lateral branches (Mortensen, 1987). Long, 2004 has found that due to increased photosynthetic activity, leaf nonstructural carbohydrates (sugars and starches) per unit leaf area are increased by an average of 30–40% under free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE) elevated carbon dioxide condition. Plant quality, growth habit and number of flowers are often improved by carbon dioxide enrichment. Which decreases oxygen inhibition of photosynthesis and increases net photosynthesis in plants. This is the basis for increased growth caused by elevated carbon dioxide concentration (Mortensen, 1987). So the excess assimilate can be used directly to promote leaf development in leafy vegetables such as

lettuce (Hicklenton, 1988) or stored as a reserve to divert fruit to areas with high sink potential, such as fruit development in tomatoes (Slack, 1986). According to Hamim (2005) a rise in photosynthesis brought on by higher carbon dioxide particularly at the onset of a drought, may enhance the solute accumulation of organic acids and sugars necessary for osmotic adjustments. Peet and Willits (1987) reported that increasing the time of carbon dioxide enrichment significantly increased cucumber yield. According to Mortensen (1994), increasing carbon dioxide levels resulted in yield increases of 18%, 19%, and 17% for lettuce, carrots, and parsley respectively. The yield of tomato and pepper increased by 15% and 11% respectively with elevated carbon dioxide and more light (Fierro *et al.*, 1994). Since higher carbon dioxide causes the plants to transpire less and assimilate more carbon dioxide, it has been hypothesised that this will improve the water use efficiency (WUE) of C3 species. Drake *et al.* (1997) found that the photosynthetic rate of C3 species increases by around 58% as a result of an increase of carbon dioxide, implying that their reaction to increasing carbon dioxide may be advantageous than that of C4 species.

In C4 species, photosynthesis seems to be saturated at current atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration (Von Caemmerer *et al.*, 1997). This is attributed to the fact that in C4 species carbon dioxide concentrating mechanisms (CCM) help plants to maintain their rate of carbon dioxide absorption even when water supply is limited and stomatal conductance is decreased (Knapp AK, Medina E. 1999). According to Amthor and Loomis (1996) the ability of C4 species to respond to carbon dioxide enrichment is limited by the carbon dioxide concentrating mechanism they adopt. The effect of elevated carbon dioxide fertilization on C4 species is not well understood, although evidence suggests that carbon dioxide enrichment increased leaf net carbon dioxide assimilation rate (P_n) (Ziska *et al.*, 1999) and reduced transpiration rate may cause an increase in P_n of C4 species by increasing leaf temperature (Ghannoum *et al.* 2000). Therefore C4 species may exhibit a more apparent benefit from elevated carbon dioxide on photosynthesis at drought condition (Seneweera *et al.* 1998).

High temperature stress on vegetable production

Long-term global mean temperature estimates indicate a rise of 1.1-4.8 °C over the previous 50 years, depending on the scale of future greenhouse gas emissions (Walsh *et al.* 2014). These changes in global temperature would cause an increased frequency of heat waves, fewer days of freezing temperatures, less rainfall but more intense precipitation and a higher incidence of droughts and other weather extremes experienced around the world, all of which would have a negative impact on agricultural production (Dempewolf *et al.*, 2014). Extreme events like heavy precipitation leads to flooding which has detrimental effect on vegetable production due to disturbed physiological functioning (Gibbs and Greenway, 2003). Flood affected tomato plants accumulate endogenous ethylene that causes damage to the plants which hasten rapid wilting and death of tomato plants which is generally observed following a short period of flooding at high temperatures (Mohorović *et al.*, 2023). Onion is also sensitive to flooding during bulb development resulting in yield loss up to 30-40% (Kumar SN, 2017).

Vegetable crops are extremely vulnerable to climate change such as rapid temperature at any stage of crop growth (Afroza *et al.*, 2010). The environmental fluctuations have a substantial impact on the various developmental phases including vegetative growth, flowering, and fruiting. The physiological damages caused by high temperatures such as leaf abscission, leaf burning, senescence and restricted root and shoot development have a significant impact on crops and ultimately reduce production. Also it can lead to lower seed germination rates, plant emergence, weak seedling vigor, aberrant seedlings, and reduced radical and plumule development (Bita *et al.*, 2013). High temperatures may disrupt a number of metabolic processes that occur in guard cells; the stomatal response is typically regulated by transpiration rate, photosynthetic rate, plant water status and vapour pressure deficit (Urban *et al.*, 2017). High temperatures increase the rate of evapotranspiration throughout the vegetative and reproductive stages, which restricts the amount of water that plants can take in. This effects dehydration which inhibit growth of both the individual organs and the entire plant (Fahad *et al.*, 2017).

The reproductive stage is the most sensitive time in a crop's life cycle and this sensitivity causes a considerable reduction in seed set and crop output (Hein *et al.*, 2021). It is found to have a negative impact on various aspects of reproductive development in a variety of species including meiosis in both male and female gametes, pollen germination and pollen tube growth, pollen/pistil interactions, ovule viability, pollen grain number, formation of endosperm and embryo development, fertilization and post-fertilization processes. Pollen viability is lost as a result of high-temperature stress due to changes in membrane integrity,

protein, carbohydrate and lipid mechanisms and phospholipid profiles (Djanaguiramana *et al.*, 2018).

High temperature stress has a negative impact on agricultural productivity and also on the quality of agricultural produce. It alters the enzyme function in a leaf and causes changes in the developmental stages that are directly related to crop yield (Zhu *et al.*, 2018). The optimum temperature for growing crops is about 15-30°C. However, temperature elevations predominantly affect plant's physiological processes particularly photosynthesis, respiration, transpiration, and yield. Extreme temperatures have a significant impact on photosynthesis in leaves and the mechanisms involved in photosynthetic metabolism (Asthir, 2015) such as lower *Rubisco* activation and drop in stomatal conductance (gs) will decrease the net photosynthetic rate. High temperature influences photosynthetic membranes, ion leakage, the activities of carbon metabolic enzymes, starch accumulation, sucrose synthesis through down-regulating certain genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism. High temperature also has a significant impact on chloroplast throughout the photosynthesis process, including grana stack enlargement and aberrant stacking (Wahid *et al.*, 2005). The chlorophyll production in plastids plays a significant role in light harvesting. Under temperature stress condition, chlorophyll pigments in plastids are harmed and degraded and 5-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALAD), the initial enzyme of pyrrole biosynthesis, showed reduced enzymatic activity (Ashraf *et al.*, 2013). It was also observed that the key phytohormones such as abscisic acid, ethylene, and salicylic acid are increased by high temperatures, whereas gibberellic acid, cytokinin and auxins were reduced. High temperature affects red colour development in ripen chilli fruits and also causes flower drop, ovule abortion, poor fruit set and fruit drop in chilli (Kurtar *et al.*, 2010). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are also produced as a result of high temperatures (Bita and Gerats, 2013) and this increased generation of ROS, including lipid peroxidation, superoxide free radicals and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) induce cell membrane damage (Narayanan *et al.*, 2016) results in oxidative stress (Potters, 2007). High temperature reduces the net assimilation rate induce denaturation of proteins and programmed cell death in some cells or tissues. It causes decreased ion flux, leakage of electrolytes, changes in relative water content, the production of toxic compound and disruption of homeostasis, ultimately reduce cell viability.

Plant tissue protein concentration is closely related to plant nitrogen status. In FACE experiments, protein concentrations in wheat, rice, barley grains, and potato tubers are decreased by 5–14% with increasing carbon dioxide (Taub *et al.*, 2008). The nitrogen content of leaves in plant

tissue often declines as carbon dioxide levels rise and it is reported an average of 13% less nitrogen per unit leaf mass (Ainsworth & Long 2005). This decrease in tissue nitrogen may be due to several factors such as nitrogen dilution due to increased carbohydrate concentration, reduced mineral uptake from the soil because of reduced stomatal conductivity and plants absorb less water (Taub & Wang 2008) and reduced rate of assimilation of nitrate into organic compounds (Bloom *et al.*, 2010). A meta-analysis done by Dong *et al.*, 2018 showed that eCO₂ decreased the protein concentration in vegetables (9.5%). That is about 10.5% reduction in fruit vegetables like cucumber, hot pepper, strawberry, sweet pepper, and tomato and 12.6% reduction in stem vegetables like ginger, onion, potato and 20.5% reduction in root vegetables like carrot, radish, sugar beet and turnip. Also reported that elevated carbon dioxide decreased the nitrate concentration in fruit and leafy vegetables by 26.2% and 18.8% respectively. It indicates that elevated carbon dioxide promotes the nitrate assimilation to a greater extent than nitrate uptake.

Increased carbon dioxide levels may also result in lower plant concentrations of nutrients including calcium, magnesium and phosphorus (Loladze, 2002). Iron content decreased the most in leafy vegetables (31.0%), next in fruit vegetables (19.2%), and finally in root vegetables (8.2%), whereas zinc concentration reduced by 18.1% in both fruit and root vegetables and by 10.7% in stem vegetables (Dong *et al.*, 2018). According to Myers *et al.*, 2014, a 5.2% drop in iron was found in rice and Loladze (2014) reported 10% loss in C3 plants. Increased levels of carbon dioxide in future climates reduced the availability of nutrients in vegetables which led to deteriorating human health especially in growing children (Myers *et al.*, 2014). Also various studies reported that the eCO₂ reduced mineral concentrations either via dilution effect (Fangmeier *et al.*, 2002; Högy and Fangmeier, 2009; Loladze, 2014) or by restricted transpiration (Mc Donald *et al.*, 2002)

Increased carbon dioxide stimulates the accumulation of soluble sugar in plants edible portions. The production of triose phosphate in leaves is stimulated by the increased carbon dioxide fixation under eCO₂ (Long *et al.*, 2004) which can be converted into more carbs, such as glucose, fructose, and sucrose. Based on the meta analysis, eCO₂ raised the concentrations of glucose by 13.2%, fructose by 14.2%, sucrose by 3.7% and total soluble sugar by 17.5% in terms of all vegetables. Compared to leafy vegetables, total soluble sugar increased less in fruits and roots, respectively 8.5% and 16.3%. This indicates that carbohydrates synthesized in leaves cannot be fully transferred to fruits or roots, although species differences were noted. Elevated carbon dioxide decreased the concentration of total

antioxidant capacity in fruit vegetables, ascorbic acid in root vegetables, chlorophyll a, and carotenoids by 14.4%, 14.8%, 14.1%, and 8.1%, respectively, while having no noticeable effect on the accumulation of pigments like total chlorophyll, carotenoids, lycopene, and anthocyanins (Dong *et al.*, 2018). So the rising carbon dioxide concentrations, high temperature and associated climate change over the next century are likely to impact both agricultural production and food quality.

Salinity is one major challenge faced in vegetable production which poses water stress to the plant. Salt stress caused reduction in growth and stomatal conductivity. It is noticed that salt affected plants exhibit lower transpiration and cell water potential. Onions are susceptible to saline soils, while cucumber, brinjal, pepper, and tomato are moderately sensitive to salinity (De la Peña and Hughes, 2007). Salinity causes a significant reduction in germination percentage and rate, root and shoots length and fresh root and shoot weight in cabbage (Jamil and Rha, 2004).

Impact on pests and diseases in vegetables

The ecology and biology of insect pests are affected by climate change (Jat *et al.*, 2011). Being cold-blooded, insects are extremely sensitive to temperature. Rising temperatures will result in longer breeding seasons and higher reproduction rates. Studies showed that increased temperatures will hasten the growth of the Colorado potato beetle, European corn borer, onion maggot, and cabbage maggot (Newton *et al.*, 2011). Studies on aphids and moths have demonstrated that rising temperatures can enable insects to achieve their minimum flight temperature earlier, enhancing their ability to disperse (Zhou *et al.*, 2014). Some insects with short life cycles, including aphids and diamondback moths reproduce more often and finish their life cycles early under increased temperature therefore create more generations every year (FAO, 2009). High air temperatures enhance insect growth and oviposition rates, insect outbreaks and the introduction of invasive species but they also reduce the fungi's capacity to control insects through biocontrol, the reliability of economic threshold levels, insect diversity in ecosystems, and parasitism (Das *et al.*, 2011).

Changes in temperature and precipitation patterns may have an impact on the host plant's physiology and resistance as well as the growth stage, development, and pathogenicity of infectious pathogens (Mboup *et al.*, 2012). Faster disease cycles are brought on by airborne infections at higher temperatures, which also increases their survivability due to the decrease in frost (Boonekamp, 2012). According to Harvell *et al.*, (2002) it is anticipated that

the effects of plant infections will increase with climate change because the low temperatures and extended winters in northern latitudes, which now restrict the survival, generations per year, reproduction rate, and activity of a majority of diseases attacking crops during the growing season.

Conclusion

The impact of climate change is a long-term concern, but it demands immediate action because greenhouse gases are accumulating in the atmosphere and there is the possibility of global temperatures rising by more than 2°C. It has been noted that the development of plants under increased carbon dioxide concentrations of 475–600 ppm results in an average 40% increase in leaf photosynthetic rates. Increased CO₂ stimulates the accumulation of soluble sugar in plant's edible portions. Vegetables are highly susceptible to unpredictable weather conditions and are highly perishable. They are sensitive to environmental extremes viz., high temperatures and limited soil moisture. Major challenges faced by vegetable farmers are crop failures, low yield, decreased quality, and increase in pest and disease incidence. High temperature reduces the net assimilation rate, induce denaturation of proteins and programmed cell death in some cells or tissues. It causes decreased ion flux, leakage of electrolytes, changes in relative water content, the production of toxic compound and disruption of homeostasis, ultimately reduce cell viability. So any changes in long-term mean annual temperature and extreme temperature events will probably have a tremendous effect on physiological responses of crops and ultimately affect the quality of food produced. Germination, vegetative stage, reproductive stage and the yield are all impacted by high temperature stress and it is critically necessary to find innovative ways to adapt crops to these changes. High temperature induced by climatic fluctuations are an important threat for plant growth, development and quality of agricultural produces. Adaptableness to environmental changes generally derive from a large set of genetic traits affecting physio-morphological, biochemical and agronomic parameters. Therefore to mitigate the adverse impact of climatic change on productivity and quality of vegetable crops identification of genotypes with higher yield and good quality parameters at high temperatures is becoming increasingly necessary for future breeding programs.

References

- Abewoy, D. 2018. Review on Impacts of Climate Change on Vegetable Production and its Management Practices. *Adv. Crop. Sci. Tech.*, 6:330.
- Afroza, B., Wani, K.P., Khan, S.H., Jabeen, N., Hussain, K., Mufti, S. and Amit, A. 2010. Various technological interventions to meet vegetable production challenges in view of climate change. *Asian journal of Horticulture.*,5(2): 523-529.
- Ainsworth, E. A., Rogers, A.2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising (CO₂): mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant, Cell and Environment.*, 30:258-270.
- Amthor, J.S. and R.S. Loomis. 1996. Integrating knowledge of crop responses to elevated CO₂ and temperature with mechanistic simulation models: Model components and research needs, p. 317–346. In: Koch, G.W. and H.A. Mooney (eds.). Carbon dioxide and terrestrial ecosystems. *Academic Press*, San Diego, CA
- Ainsworth, E. A., Long, S. P. 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. *New Phytologist.*, 165:351-372.
- Ashraf, M., Harris PJC.2013. Photosynthesis under stressful environments: An overview. *Photosynthetica.*, 51:163-190. DOI: 10.1007/S11099-013-0021-6.
- Asthir, B.2015. Protective mechanisms of heat tolerance in crop plants. *Journal of Plant Interactions.*, 10(1):202-210. DOI: 10.1080/17429145.2015.1067726.
- Bisbis, M.B., Gruda, N., and Blanke, M. (2018). Potential impacts of climate change on vegetable production and product quality—a review. *J. Clean. Prod.*,170, 1602–1620.
- Bitá, C.E., Gerats, T. Plant tolerance to high temperature in a changing environment: scientific fundamentals and production of heat stress-tolerant crops. *Front Plant Sci.* 2013 Jul 31;4:273.
- Bloom, A. J., Burger, M.2010 Carbon dioxide inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and *Arabidopsis*. *Science.*, 328: 899-903.

- Boonekamp, P.M. 2012. Are plant diseases too much ignored in the climate change debate?. *European Journal of Plant Pathology.*, 133: 291-294
- Das, D.K., Singh, J., Vennila, S. 2011. Emerging crop pest scenario under the impact of climate change-a brief review. *Journal of Agricultural Physics.*, 11: 13-20.
- De la Pena, R. and Hughes, J. 2007. Improving vegetable productivity in a variable and changing climate. *International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.*, 4, 1-22.
- Dempewolf, H., Eastwood, R.J., Guarino, L., Khoury, C.K., Muller, J.V., and Toll, J. 2014. Adapting Agriculture to Climate Change: A Global Initiative to Collect, Conserve, and Use Crop Wild Relatives. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 38(4), 369-377.
- Djanaguiraman, M., Perumal, R., Jagadish, S.V.K., Ciampitti, I.A., Welti, R., Prasad, P.V.V. 2018. Sensitivity of sorghum pollen and pistil to high-temperature stress. *Plant, Cell & Environment.*, 41(5):1065-1082. DOI: 10.1111/PCE.13089.
- Dong, J., Gruda, N., Lam, S.K., Li, X and Duan, Z. 2018. Effects of Elevated CO₂ on Nutritional Quality of Vegetables: A Review. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 9:924
- Drake, B.G., González-Meler, M. A. and Long, S.P. 1997. More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? *Annual review of plant biology*, 48(1), pp.609-639.
- FAO, 2009. Global agriculture towards 2050 Issues Brief. *High level expert forum*. Rome, pp: 12-13.
- Fahad, S., Bajwa, A.A., Nazir, U., Anjum, S.A., Farooq, A., Zohaib, A. 2017. Crop production under drought and heat stress: Plant responses and management options. *Frontiers in Plant Science.*, 8:1-16. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01147
- Fangmeier, A., De Temmerman, L., Black, C., Persson, K., and Vorne, V. 2002. Effects of elevated CO₂ and/or ozone on nutrient concentrations and nutrient uptake of potatoes. *Eur. J. Agron.*, 17, 353-368.
- Fierro A., Gosselin A., Tremblay N. (1994). Supplemental carbon dioxide and light improved tomato and pepper seedling growth and yield. *Hortscience.*, 29 152-154.

- Gibbs, J., and Greenway, H. 2003. Mechanisms of anoxia tolerance in plants. I. Growth, survival and anaerobic catabolism. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 30, 1-47.
- Ghannoum, O., von Caemmerer S., Ziska, L.H., Conroy, J.P. 2000. The growth response of C4 plants to rising atmospheric CO₂ partial pressure: a reassessment. *Plant Cell Environ.* 23:931-942.
- Hamim, 2005. Photosynthesis of C3 and C4 Species in Response to Increased CO₂ Concentration and Drought Stress. *HAYATI Journal of Biosciences*, 12(4), 131–138. doi:10.1016/s1978-3019(16)30340-0
- Harvell, C.D., Mitchell, C.E., Ward, J.R., Altizer, S., Dobson, A.P., Ostfeld, R.S. & Samuel, M.D. 2002. Climate warming and disease risk for terrestrial and marine biota. *Science*, 296: 2158–2162.
- Hay, R., Porter, J. 2006. The physiology of crop yield (2nd ed.). Oxford: Blackwell., 43-49.
- Hicklenton, P. R. 1988. CO₂ enrichment in the greenhouse. *Growers Handbook Series 2*, pp58
- Hein, N.T., Ciampitti, I.A., Jagadish, S.V.K. 2021. Bottlenecks and opportunities in field-based high-throughput phenotyping for heat and drought stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 72(14):5102-5116. DOI: 10.1093/JXB/ERAB021
- Högy, P., Fangmeier, A. 2009. Atmospheric CO₂ enrichment affects potatoes: 2. Tuber quality traits. *Eur. J. Agron.* 30, 85–94.
- IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)].

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2391 pp. doi:10.1017/9781009157896.

Jat, M. K., Tatarwal, A. S. 2012. Effect of changing climate on the insect pest population national seminar on sustainable agriculture and food security: Challenges in changing climate

Jamil, M and Rha, E. S. 2004. The effect of salinity (NaCl) on the germination and seedling of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*) and cabbage (*Brassica oleraceae* L.). *Korean Journal of Plant Resources* 7:226–32.

Kimball, B.A., Kobayashi, K., Bindi, M.2002. Response of agricultural crops to free air CO₂ enhancement. – *Adv. Agron.* 77: 293-368.

Knapp, A. K., Medina, E. 1999. Success of C₄ photosynthesis in the field: lessons from communities dominated by C₄ plants. In: Sage RF, Monson RK (ed). *C₄ Plant Biology*. London: Academic Pr. P 251-283.

Kumar, S .N.2017.Climate Change and its Impacts on Food and Nutritional Security in India. *Agriculture under Climate Change: Threats, Strategies and Policies.* 1: 48.

Kurtar, E.S. 2010. Modelling the effect of temperature on seed germination in some cucurbits. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 9(9):1343-1353.

Lal, M., Nozawa, S., Emori, H., Harasawa, K., Takahashi, M., Kimoto, A., Abe-ouchi, T., Nakajima, T. and Numaguti, A. 2014.Future climate change implications for Indian summer monsoon and its variability. *Current science.*, 81: 1196-1207.

Loladze, I.2002.Rising atmospheric CO₂ and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution.*, 17: 457-461.

Loladze, I. 2014. Hidden shift of the ionome of plants exposed to elevated CO₂ depletes minerals at the base of human nutrition. eLife3:e02245. doi: 10.7554/ eLife.02245

Long, S. P., Ainsworth, E. A., Rogers, A., and Ort, D. R. 2004. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: plants FACE the future. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, 55:591–628.

- Marschner, H.1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2nd ed. London, UK: Academic Press.
- Mboup M, *et al.*, 2012. Genetic structure and local adaptation of European wheat yellow rust populations: the role of temperature-specific adaptation. *Evol Appl.* 5(4):341–352.
- McDonald, E. P., Erickson, J. E., and Kruger, E. L. 2002. Can decreased transpiration limit plant nitrogen acquisition in elevated CO₂? *Funct. Plant Biol.*29, 1115–1120.
- Mohorović, P., Geldhof, B., Holsteens, K., Rinia, M., Ceusters, J., Van de Poel B.2023. Effect of ethylene pretreatment on tomato plant responses to salt, drought, and waterlogging stress. *Plant Direct.* Nov 17;7(11):e548. doi: 10.1002/pld3.548. PMID: 38028648; PMCID: PMC10654692.
- Mortensen, L.V. 1987.CO₂ enrichment in greenhouses. Crop responses. Review article. *Sci. Hortic.* 33(1–2): 1–25.
- Mortensen, L. M. 1994. Effects of elevated CO₂ concentrations on growth and yield of eight vegetable species in a cool climate. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 58(3), 177–185. doi:10.1016/0304-4238(94)90149-x
- Myers, S. S., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., Huybers, P., Leakey, A. D. B., Bloom, A. J.2014. Increasing CO₂ threatens human nutrition. *Nature* 510, 139–142.
- Narayanan, S., Tamura, P.J., Roth, M.R., Prasad, P.V.V., Welti, R.2016.Wheat leaf lipids during heat stress: I. High day and night temperatures result in major lipid alterations. *Plant, Cell & Environment.*,39(4):787-803. DOI: 10.1111/PCE.12649.
- Newton, A.C., Johnson, S.N., Gregory, P.J.2011. Implications of climate change for diseases, crop yields and food security. *Euphytica.*, 179: 3-18
- NOAA, 2023. National Centers for Environmental Information, Monthly Global Climate Report for December 2022, published online January 2023, retrieved on May 24, 2024 from <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/monitoring/monthly-report/global/202300>.
- Peet, M. M., Willits, D.H. 1987. Greenhouse CO₂ enrichment alternatives : Effects of increasing concentrations of duration of enrichment on cucumber yields.*American Society of Horticultural Science.* 112: 236-24.

- Potters, G., Pasternak, T.P., Guisez, Y., Palme, K.J., Jansen, M.A.K.2007. Stress-induced morphogenic responses: Growing out of trouble? *Trends in Plant Science.*,12(3):98-105. DOI: 10.1016/J.TPLANTS.2007.01.004.
- Seneweera, S. P., Ghannoum, O., Conroy, J. 1998. High vapour pressure deficit and low soil water availability enhance shoot growth responses of a C4 grass (*Panicum coloratum* cv. *Bambatsi*) to CO₂ enrichment. *Aust. J. Plant. Physiol.* 25:287-292.
- Slack, G.1986. CO₂enrichment of tomato crops. In: Carbon Dioxide Enrichment of Greenhouse Crops. Vol. II. *Physiology, Yield, and Economics.* pp. 151–163.
- Reddy, A.R., Rasineni, G.K., Raghavendra, A.S.2010: The impact of global elevated CO₂ concentration on photosynthesis and plant productivity. – *Curr. Sci.* 99: 46-57.
- Taub, D., Miller, B., Allen, H. 2008. Effects of elevated CO₂ on the protein concentration of food crops: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology.*, 14: 565–575.
- Taub, D. R., Wang, X. Z.2008. Why are nitrogen concentrations in plant tissues lower under elevated CO₂? A critical examination of the hypotheses. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology.*,50: 1365-1374.
- Urban, J., Ingwers, M.W., McGuire, M.A., Teskey, R.O.2017. Increase in leaf temperature opens stomata and decouples net photosynthesis from stomatal conductance in *Pinus taeda* and *Populus deltoides* x *nigra*. *Journal of Experimental Botany.*;68(7):1757-1767.DOI: 10.1093/JXB/ERX052
- Von Caemmerer, S., Ludwig, M., Millgate, A., Farquhar, G.D., Price, D., Badger, M. and Furbank, R.T., 1997. Carbon isotope discrimination during C4 photosynthesis: insights from transgenic plants. *Functional Plant Biology*, 24(4), pp.487-494.
- Wahid, A., Shabbir, A.2005. Induction of heat stress tolerance in barley seedlings by pre-sowing seed treatment with glycinebetaine. *Plant Growth Regulation.*,46(2):133-141. DOI: 10.1007/s10725-005-8379-5.
- www.apeda.gov.in
www.fao.org
- Walsh, J., Wuebbles, D., Hayhoe, K., Kossin, J., Kunkel, K., Stephens G., Somerville R. 2014. Our Changing Climate. U.S. *Global Change Research Program.*

- Willits, D. H., & Peet, M. M. 1989. Predicting yield responses to different greenhouse CO₂ enrichment schemes: cucumbers and tomatoes. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 44(3-4), 275–293. doi:10.1016/0168-1923(89)90022-1
- Ziska, L.H., Sicher, R.C., Bunce, J.A. 1999. The impact of elevated carbon dioxide on the growth and gas exchange of three C₄ species differing in CO₂ leak rates. *Physiol. Plant.* 105:74-80.
- Ziska, L. H., Kleinman, D.L., Cloud-Hansen, K.A. 2008. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide and plant biology: the overlooked paradigm. In *Controversies in Science and Technology, From Climate to Chromosomes. New Rochele: Liebert.*, 379-400.
- Zhou, X., Harrington, R., Woiwod, I.P., Perry, J.N., Bale, J.S. 2014. Effects of temperature on aphid phenology. *Global Change Biology* 1: 303-313.
- Zhu, P., Jin, Z., Zhuang, Q., Ciais, P., Bernacchi, C., Wang, X., Makowski, D., Lobell, D. 2018. The important but weakening maize yield benefit of grain filling prolongation in the US Midwest. *Global Change Biology*, 24: 4718–4730.