

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Pathogen Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPR_126851 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE IMPACT OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE ON CO-INFECTIONS: MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR HIV, TB, AND MALARIA. |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This article is of great concern as in any country , people are losing their life because of Secondary infections resulting from Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) , rather than the actual disease. I like this article as the causes and Management of AMR, are exemplified along with reference citations. Eg. Synergistic effect of microbial interactions are well explained as one of the causes for AMR, while data base collection in priority areas like Sub-saharan Africa , as one of the Management strategy ; is impressive. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, the article is comprehensive. No addition or deletion of points required | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes, subsections are divided appropriately. Eg. Section 4 – Effect of AMR in Co-infections , is well – divided as sub-sections 4.1 & 4.2 | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is scientifically correct , with proper references. Eg. CRISPR technology , is mentioned as a diagnostic tool for managing AMR; which is accurate. Other management strategies are also well-explained, with evidences from literature. Data base collection in real-time for cases of AMR , in high bio-burden areas, is a very good Management strategy. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. : | Yes, recent references. | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, suitable for scientific communications | |
| Optional/General comments | VERY GOOD | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Paushali Pal |
| Department, University & Country | Sri Shakthi Institute of Engineering and Technology, India |