

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_127075
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of nutritional value and sensory analysis of a composite flour for porridge and biscuits formulated by four-factor mixing plan.
Type of the Article	Full Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the article, in the sense that it is novel and contributes to the scientific community. It focused on the advancement in mixing methods, an approach that will enhance food formulations, especially in foods and allied industries. Therefore, with minor revisions, I recommend the work for publication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the article is relatively comprehensive, but it is suggested that in the discussion, the authors should correlate the findings with the already existing literatures.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The numbering systems should be revisited. A recast is suggested.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is Scientifically robust, owing to it's novelty. It advances the mixing methods to achieve homogeneity in good and related applications.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes. Notwithstanding, some few references are outdated and need to updated.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality is suitable. The article needs minor revisions.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The article is concisely written and captured present the scientific needs. However , there are few grey areas that needs adjustments such as stating clearly, the methods of analysis, maintaining consistency in the use of character styles and updating the references. The authors need to highlight the achieved results and the problems solved with the mixing methods. Refer to the comments above. I recommend that the article be published after effectively addressing the few issues raised.</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ofoedum, Arinze Francis
Department, University & Country	School of Engineering & Engineering Technology, Federal University of Technology, Nigeria