

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_112977
Title of the Manuscript:	Organisational Structure and its Impact on the Role of Local Government Communication Officers in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, I believe this contribution adds value to the scientific community, and hope it sparks meaningful discussions and contributes positively to the field.</p> <p>2. Yes, I believe the title effectively captures the essence of the article and highlights its key points.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study and effectively communicates its purpose, methodology, and key findings. It offers a clear understanding of the research focus, which is the impact of organizational structure on the functions of communication staff in local authorities in Dar es Salaam City, Tanzania.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate, it just need small layout corrections at some places like in para 'Roles of government communication officers in Tanzania'.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, but it needs addition of few data including 'Descriptive analysis' of the data collected.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of English of the article is suitable for scholarly communications however it needs a few corrections in some places.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article is well written and structured but requires minor corrections as given above.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Deepti Pandey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>MIT Sloan School of Management, USA</b>