

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_127436 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Classroom Leadership Behavior And Teacher Professionalism Development In Public Elementary Schools |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The title and objectives of the manuscript is attractive for educational researchers across globe. Educational leadership and its relationship with practioners had always been an interesting debate among educators. So, this paper will add a significant knowledge in already existing research. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Title is acceptable. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract is very well articulated and drafted. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Literature review, as a separate heading is missing. It will be appreciated if author may add it as a separate sub section so that researchers and readers may understand in a better way. Objectives and Hypothesis are also missing, which leads to create confusion. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Methodology and data presentation is reflecting a good approach of scientific correctness. However, there is need to improve the manuscript's scientific write-up like: Objectives and Hypothesis/Research questions are missing, if author added this and connect the sections of finding with objectives and hypothesis, it would be a better research manuscript. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Bass, B. M., & Bass Bernard, M. (1985), this one is too old. Rest are latest, however references should be added. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes language of the document is good. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Syed Jawad Zareen |
| Department, University & Country | University of Poonch Rawalakot, Pakistan |