

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_127689
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic assessment of Mahaneem (<i>Melia dubia</i>)- Mung bean (<i>Vigna radiata</i>) based agroforestry system in semi-arid regions of Bundelkhand
Type of the Article	Research Paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is highly relevant to the scientific community as it provides a detailed economic evaluation of the Mahaneem (<i>Melia dubia</i>)-Mung bean (<i>Vigna radiata</i>) agroforestry system in the semi-arid Bundelkhand region. By analyzing the cost of cultivation across different planting configurations and distances, the study offers critical insights into the economic feasibility and resource efficiency of intercropping systems. The research aligns with the global emphasis on sustainable and climate-resilient agricultural practices, particularly in regions facing water scarcity and soil degradation. Additionally, the findings contribute to the scientific understanding of agroforestry's potential to enhance farm income while promoting sustainable land-use practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, " Economic assessment of Mahaneem (<i>Melia dubia</i>)-Mung bean (<i>Vigna radiata</i>) based agroforestry system in semi-arid regions of Bundelkhand, " is clear and conveys the core focus of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggestions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Objective: The abstract does not explicitly state the purpose or objective of the study. A clear statement outlining the research aim should be included. Key Findings: The abstract does not mention the study's key results or conclusions. Including quantitative or comparative findings (e.g., cost differences, profitability, or yield data) would make it more informative. Context and Significance: The relevance of the study to addressing challenges in semi-arid regions, such as water scarcity or resource optimization, is not sufficiently emphasized. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	references sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article appears to be generally suitable for scholarly communication, as the key concepts and technical details are clearly presented	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript is relevant and has the potential to make a meaningful contribution to agroforestry research. Addressing these points will enhance its clarity, scientific rigor, and impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yadvendra Pal Singh
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India