

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126310
Title of the Manuscript:	A Scale to Measure Impact of FLDs on Food Security & Climate Resilience
Type of the Article	??

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>1-Food security is one of the interesting topics in this article.</p> <p>1- The title of the article needs to be rewritten.</p> <p>2- Writing abbreviations(FLDs) can be misleading for the readers of the article and should be written in full, not as abbreviations.</p> <p>3- The title of the article mentions food security, but no explanation regarding food security has been provided for a better understanding of the readers of the article.</p> <p>4- What is the purpose of conducting this research? What are the gaps in research in this area that compel the authors to conduct research in this field?</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It needs to be rewritten.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is written briefly, which misleads the authors of the article; the abstract should be prominent and aligned with the goals of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. The formatting of the content needs to be reviewed in line with the objectives of the article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In my opinion, the article needs rewriting and completion in terms of scientific content and quality.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, new references must be added.	

## Review Form 3

<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are grammatical errors in some parts of the texts, especially with lowercase and uppercase letters.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Behzad Mohammadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Afagh Higher Education Institute, Iran</b>