

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_126944
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychosocial impact of COVID-19 as perceived by Australian- based West Africans who survived the 2014-2016 Ebola epidemic in West Africa: Study Introduction
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study has a very careful methodology, with a very rigorous approach to knowing the situation and emotions of the migrants. in the application of tests and interviews, which allow data to be collected. This study allows the results to be transferred to other geographical areas with migratory movements from Africa	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study has a very careful methodology, with a very rigorous approach to knowing the situation and emotions of the migrants. in the application of tests and interviews, which allow data to be collected	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The text is excellently documented with a very recent majority bibliography that substantiates the timeliness and relevance of the study	
Minor REVISION comments	The English Quality is suitable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	This study has a very careful methodology, with a very rigorous approach to knowing the situation and emotions of the migrants. in the application of tests and interviews, which allow data to be collected. This study allows the results to be transferred to other geographical areas with migratory movements from Africa. Very current topic in some areas due to the political problems of immigration problems and related issues of social intervention and health support The text is excellently documented with a very recent majority bibliography that substantiates the timeliness and relevance of the study. The authors must be congratulated for the excellent work carried out and for the important social applications it offers in other environments, to be applied in future situations of pandemic or health problems, and it allows documenting the experiences and emotions of the protagonists.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amador Cernuda-Lago
Department, University & Country	King Juan Carlos University, Spain