

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_126943
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Psychosocial Impacts of COVID-19 Pandemic on West Africans Based in Victoria Australia who Survived the 2014-16 Ebola Epidemic: The Methodological Approach
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper deals with the various philosophical and methodological issues connected in the study of the psychosocial impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. As such, it will add some knowledge for scientific community regarding the recent phenomena of COVID-19 and the methodological strength and limitations in the areas will give us theoretical and empirical perspectives as an emerging issues in behavioural and social science research areas.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is better to omit "Study of" and reframed the title as "Psychosocial Impacts of COVID-19 Pandemic on West Africans Based in Australia who Survived the 2014-16 Ebola Epidemic: The Methodological Approach"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract part expected to tell us the purpose, methods, results/findings of the study, conclusions and recommendations. However, this paper mentioned only few points about the general information of methodological issues. It needs specific points regarding how the study designed, conducted, and what major findings achieved and what was concluded at the end expected.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The introduction part should tell us the concrete background of the study topics, types research procedure whether this study is conducted on primary or secondary source of data. The overall structure of the study seems vague because the researcher should inform us whether study is literature review or project evaluation in order to judge the paper in line with the standard format of research article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The objective of this article is to describe the options of research methods that have been considered. The researcher should clearly state how did he/she achieved such objective, what types of review methods used, how did the sample of study selected, the duration of the study etc. How did they select the methodologies that underpin the selected methods in the research project regarding Psychosocial Impacts of COVID-19 Pandemic on West Africans...	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Overall the reference section is good. However, instead of some out-dated references such as "Creswell JW: The selection of a research approach. Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches 2014:3-24" better if they refer the recent one such as <i>Creswell, J. W. and Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. Fifth Edition. SAGE Publications, Inc. Creswell, J.W. and Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches. Fourth Edition. SAGE Publications, Inc. Thousand Oaks, California, USA.</i>	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The study lacks organization, clarity and follows in its language use. This paper mention at the end-part in conclusion section regarding the types of research as it is narrative study and also it is literature review.	
Optional/General comments	The researcher should clearly described the process and procedure of the study regarding what types of literature review (critical/systematic/scoping) review used in the study. How did the literature selected, organized and evaluated for conducting analysis? How did the inclusion and exclusion criteria set for literature evaluation?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wondu Teshome Beharu
Department, University & Country	Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia