

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_126788
Title of the Manuscript:	Scoping review of the literature on the psychosocial impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on West Africans in Victoria
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states <sup>90</sup>that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>The abstract should capture sample size and sampling techniques, and the population of the study adequately. It should be written in prose. The author should clearly state the objectives of the</p>	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p> <p>The abstract should capture sample size and sampling techniques of the respondents and the population of the study adequately and the population of the study. It should be written in prose.</p>	<p><b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important in sensitizing nations on disaster preparedness, consequences and its psychosocial impact on communities. Training of health personnel in readiness of disaster is critical, and resilience among the vulnerable groups is helpful.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest)</b></p>	<p>The title is a good one but the term scoping is ambiguous and should be dropped.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions</b></p>	<p>Abstract lacks precise sample size, sampling techniques. It should be in prose form.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The study is scientifically robust and technically sound in the manner data was collected and analyzed with a few gaps and can contribute to the field of science new ideas.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>References from African Authors from Africa on the same topic need to be included</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</b></p>	<p>Language is fairly good but literature review lacks linking terms regarding the existing gaps. Reviews have been lumped together. There's scholarly communications in study. Author should clearly review the reviews by different authors precisely.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p> <p>The article should be published once the gaps highlighted are ameliorated.</p>	<p>The article should be published in the gaps are corrected</p>	

#### PART 2:

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

#### Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Kato Masika Erastus</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Masinde Muliro University, Kenya</b></p>