

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_126788
Title of the Manuscript:	Scoping review of the literature on the psychosocial impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on West Africans in Victoria
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Topic is interesting for all regions. The objective and the aim of the study is good and relevant to current situation. But the past trauma and covid pandemic inter connectivity is not well highlighted in the study. Authors can throw some more light into this specific part.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is good, but in the conclusion the authors accept the studies revealed more about pandemic impact than the past trauma effect.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract for paper is within its limits.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the numberings, the structure of manuscripts and all sections are carefully done as per scientific papers.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Personally felt if the paper title does not specify to a particular ethnicity and past trauma impact, the scientific approach of this manuscript is very good. It has dealt with the post pandemic effect in Australia with government initiatives, the individual emotional and psycho social effects of covid 19 and the health-related issues.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are good but should have added few exclusive past trauma research papers to support the title of the study.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English command is good, but few grammatic corrections here and there to checked and spelling errors in page 4, 6 etc to be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>A well written and good attempt paper.</p> <p>Summary of the Paper:</p> <p>The study highlights the post covid effect among the West Africans living in Victoria, Australia which can be relevant even today after 3 years of Pandemic. The study trying to understand the impact of Psycho social factors of individuals with past traumatic experiences (like Bush fire, Flooding, Ebola epidemic) exclusive to West Africans living in Victoria.</p> <p>Though, the study is not a complete new, it highlights the impact of particular community (West Africans) from one of the well-developed countries of the world (Victoria, Australia).</p> <p>Many studies dealt with these types of objectives with past trauma and survival with new pandemic have findings similar to this paper's outcome (Psycho-social impact post covid).</p> <p>The authors have done a good job in framing the objectives which are very elaborate and clearly specified.</p> <p>The data collection through SPICE framework is good. For review articles, 33 article selection are decent number to derive appropriate values while assessing the impact level.</p> <p>The discussions were well tabulated and written in detail. When analysing the comparative literature search for this study, the authors have included both Australia specific and the world-wide impact. The author's discussion highlights more about psycho social impact of general individual post pandemic than how the pandemic has affected the history of traumatised individuals.</p> <p>The study is relevant for current scenario, but can be be more specific in terms of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How it has affected the Western Africans living in Victoria exclusively? 2. What are remedies for the previous trauma history? 3. Literatures selected dealt with pandemic effect than the title of this study. (though the authors have mentioned about it in the conclusion) <p>A well attempted paper. Good work.</p> <p>Authors need to check for grammar corrections and spelling errors.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Geetha Suresh</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>India</p>