

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_126788
Title of the Manuscript:	Scoping review of the literature on the psychosocial impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on West Africans in Victoria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the work because it attempt to reveal that underlying factors comorbid to influence responses to a current crisis in an environment, Area or locality. In this case, it can be deduced that past natural and human induced stressor experienced by the locales has impacted on their adjustment and adaptation to COVID-19 outbreak,as it were. For any scientific investigation on best ways to provide social support to a locality in a crisis period, it would be necessary to conduct a needs assessment, for the recipients to have a better feeling of satisfaction concerning the support.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The author may delete 'Scoping ' and replace with ' A systematic.....	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It's OK	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think the work is scientifically robust and technically sound. The author painstakingly sampled the 33 literatures used for the analysis. The method used is unambiguous and easy to replicate, which is a plus. The author also left no doubts in selection of the literatures used using the PRISMA framework, explaining the inclusion and exclusion criteria.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in this work are recent, and seems to reflect the sample size. Also, sufficiency, may depend on the journal's policy, a certain number of pages, citations or references may be required .	
Minor REVISION comments	Very suitable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The author has done a beautiful job. But I would kindly request that the author reads through again and check for very few incomplete sentences. Likedemonstrated the how kingship worked... Another observation (it maybe a subjective view of mine). What does the author mean somewhere in the background.....just concluded COVID-19 pandemic. Is or was COVID-19 a programme?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evelyn Ijeoma Orji
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria