

ANTI-OXIDANT ASSESSMENT OF *PARSONSIA STRAMINEA* HYDRO-ETHANOL STEM BARK EXTRACT

ABSTRACT

Background. *Parsonsia straminea* a member of the *Apocynaceae* family with ancestral record from Australia especially at the tropical region of New South Wales and Queensland has been scientifically been proven for its anti-seizure, anti-narcoleptic potentials, and traditionally use for seizures and movement disorders management among other unconfirmed claims. However, no record of its antioxidant rating, knowing the crucial physiological role of antioxidant in maintaining health of humans. This study evaluated the anti-oxidant of the hydro-alcohol extract of *P. straminea* stem bark. **Methods.** Animals were grouped into six (n=5), they were orally treated with 100,200, 400, 800 and 1000 mg/kg of PSE for 1 day (acute) and 50, 100,200, 400, and 800 mg/kg of PSE for 14 days (sub-acute). At the end of the treatments, serum, liver, kidney and brain were collected according to prescribed methods of antioxidant assay protocol for the *in vivo* (GSH, CAT, SOD, MDA, MPO) methods of anti-oxidant assessment. Secondary metabolites determination methods of phytochemical determination as well as *in vitro* (FRAP, CUPRAC, H₂O₂, DPPH & Ion chelating) were done using hydro ethanol extract of *P.straminea* stem bark. **Results.** The phytochemical evaluation indicates the presence of terpenoids, saponin, flavonoids, phlobatamin, phenolics, alkaloids, steroids, triterpene, phytosterols, and tannin. The *in vitro* method related parameters revealed remarkable free radical scavenging abilities in an increasing order while the *in vivo* also showed significant ($P<0.05$) increase in GSH, CAT, SOD, and decrease in MDA, MPO when compared with the control. **Conclusion.** This study suggested that there is anti-oxidant possibilities of *P. straminea* stem bark through the *in vitro* and *in vivo* models in acute and sub-acute phases of exposure.

KEY WORDS: Anti-oxidant, *Parsonsia straminea*, phytochemicals, *in vitro* and *in vivo*

1. INTRODUCTION

The human or the animal cells undergoes daily activities that bothered around metabolism and its after effects that at some point impact negatively as cellular burden which mostly result from free radical damages which may presents several signs and symptoms including: headache, muscle and or joint pain, gray hairs, decreased eyesight, memory loss or brain fog, susceptibility to infections,

unstable blood sugar level, sensitivity to noise among others [1]. Patho-physiologically, oxidative stress only surfaced when there is imbalanced between natural anti-oxidant and free radicals in the body. This mostly occurs when there is obesity, cigarette smoking or tobacco, alcohol consumption, some medications, pollution, pesticides and industrial chemicals, fat in diet, high consumption of sugar, processed food, radiation exposure, among other factors [2,3]. Oxidative related damage can be averted through supplementation of certain vitamins and related products with records of anti-oxidants potentials, such as Vitamin E, Vitamin C, turmeric, green tea, melatonin, cinnamon, garlic, onion, citrus fruits and other anti-oxidant natural product [3]. Many medicinal plants have made great therapeutic mark on the bases of their richness in anti-oxidant content [4]. The records of anti-oxidative stress potential of the phytochemicals: polyphenols, flavonoids, steroids, organosulphur compounds and vitamins which are known for their free radical scavenging abilities that have alleviated or ameliorated inflammatory events in various systems of the body that are responsible for lots health challenges among men and women. *Parsonsia straminea* [5,6] is a member of the Apocynaceae family with ancestral record from Australia especially at the tropical region of New South Wales and Queensland.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Ethical Consideration

These investigations were ethically authorized by the Research Management and Development (Research Ethics Committee) with reference identity, NDU/PHARM/AEC/047d in the

Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Pharmacy Faculty, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island Nigeria.

2.2 Animals

Mice were procured from the Animal Breeding and Research Unit of the Pharmacology and Toxicology Department in Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island. The mice were transported in a conducive condition in accordance with the animal handling and ethics committee (AEC) and acclimatized for 2 weeks. In the two weeks of acclimatization, the animal feeding routine was adjusted by feeding ad libitum with finisher product to improve weight and organ maturity. They were kept in a regular equal light/day cycle and humidity [7].

2.3 Plant Identification, Confirmation and Crude Drug Preparation

The stem bark of *P. straminea* was collected from the Wilberforce Island rainforest, identified and confirmed by Dr. Gideon Alade and Prof. Kola Ajibesin both of the Department of Pharmacognosy & Herbal Medicine, Pharmacy Faculty, Niger Delta University. A sample with identification number NDUP/21/001 was kept in the herbarium. The material was freshly prepared by rinsing off possible contaminants with clean water and then air dry with temperature 17°C for 7 days. The stem bark was powdered, 400 g of the powdered *P. Straminea* stem bark was macerated with 1000 mL 50% ethanol for at least 72 h with vigorous daily agitation. After 72 h maceration, filtered and concentrated at 45°C temperature using rotary evaporator to make paste of the extract and dried using water bath at the temperature 50°C.

2.4 Phytochemical Tests and Anti-Oxidant Evaluations

The freshly prepared extract was manually processed for phyto-chemical/secondary metabolites make-up detection: alkaloids, saponins, reducing sugars, flavonoids, terpenoids, tannins, steroids among others as described [8].

2.4.1 Alkaloids

Alkaloids assessment was done using 500 mg weight of *P.straminea* powdered extract as described by Sofowora, [8].

2.4.2 Saponins

Few amount, 200 mg weight of the powdered ethanol extract of *P.straminea* was agitated with few millilitres (2-5 mL) volume of water in a test-tube and the combination/cocktail observed for the presence of a froth/foamy which does not break readily upon standing as recorded [8].

2.4.3 Flavonoids

Few millilitres (2-5 mL) volume of dilute ammonia (NH₃) solution was put onto a portion of the aqueous filtrate of *P.straminea* stem-bark powder, then addition of concentrated H₂SO₄ and observed for: yellow coloration as recorded (Ayoola *et al.*, 2008) [9].

2.4.4 Ferric chloride test for phenolic compounds

A make-up volume, two milliliters (2.0 mL) of each powdered extract of *P.straminea* stem-bark was measured in a test-tube and 0.01 moldm⁻³ Ferric chloride solution was added in drop by drop fashion. Appearance of bluish-black color precipitate which indicate the presence phenolic compounds, [8].

2.4.5 Tannins

Five hundred milligram (500 mg) weight of the powdered extract of *P. straminea* stem-back was boiled (> 100°C) with twenty-five milliliters (25 mL) volume of water for five (5) minutes, cooled and filtered. Assessment was done according to Sofowora, [8].

2.4.6 Test for Triterpenes

A sum of 300 mg weight of powdered extract of *P. straminea* stem-bark mixed with five milliliters (5 mL) volume of chloroform and warmed (45-55°C) for thirty (30) minutes. Onto chloroform solution small volume of concentrated sulfuric acid was added and mixed properly. The appearance of red color indicated the presence of triterpenes [8].

2.4.7 Salkowski Reaction test for Phytosterols

Onto 0.55 mL volume of the extract in a test-tube was 1.0 mL of concentrated H₂SO₄(conc.) added through the sides of the test-tube and then 10 mL chloroform. Appearance of reddish-brown color in chloroform layer indicates the presence of phytosterols [8].

2.4.8 Test for Phlobatannin

Sample was boiled (> 100°C) with 1% aqueous hydro-chloric acid (HCL) to produce red color precipitate indicating the presence of phlobatannin [10].

2.4.9 Molisch's test for Carbohydrates

Five hundred milligram (500 mg) weight of the powdered extract of *P. straminea* stem-bark was mixed with Molisch reagent and then H₂SO₄ conc. added along the sides of the test-tube to form layers in each. Appearance of reddish violet color ring on the interference indicated the presence of carbohydrates.

2.4.10 General test for Glycosides (Reducing Sugars)

The sum of 200 mg weight of *P. straminea* stem-bark was boiled (> 100°C) in few volume (5 mL) of dilute H₂SO₄ on a water bath for 2 minutes. The combination was cooled, filtered and rendered distinctly alkaline with 2 to 5 drops of 20 % NaOH. 1 mL each of Fehling's A/ B solutions added to the filtrate, heated on a water bath for 2 minutes and observed for a red-brown color precipitate [8].

2.4.11 Terpenoids

Just about 500 mg weight of powdered *P. straminea* stem-bark was extracted with 2 mL volume of chloro-form in a test-tube then added 1 mL volume of concentrated sulphuric acid. The reddish-brown color at interface shows the presence of terpenoids [8].

2.4.12 Steroids

A quantity of 500 mg weight of powdered *P. straminea* stem-bark was extracted with 2 mL volume of chloroform in a test-tube. About 2 mL volume, acetic anhydride was added to the extract. Concentrated sulphuric acid was carefully added through the side of the test tube. blue color that appeared at the interface suggested the presence of. steroids [8].

2.5 Anti-Oxidant Evaluation: *In vitro*

2.5.1 Diphenyl-2-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) Radical Scavenging Assay: Exact extract weight of 5000 mg of the plant sample with ethanol volume (2-3 L) for 24 h was done and then filter the extract using Whatman No 1 Filter paper. Concentrate the extract to dryness at 40°C temperature using rotary evaporator. *Antioxidant Activity by DPPH.* Put 0.5 mL of the plant sample to 3 mL of absolute ethanol and 0.3 mL of DPPH radical solution (0.5 mM in ethanol). Changes in the color (from deep violet to light yellow) at 517 nm after 100 minutes of reaction in the dark.

Blank: combination of 3.3 mL ethanol with 0.5 mL sample. *Control:* Mixture of 3.5 mL ethanol with 0.3 mL DPPH radical solution. The scavenging activity percentage (AA%) is determined

according to Mensor, [11] method. $AA\% = 100 - \left(\frac{Abs\ sample - Abs\ blank}{Abs\ Control} \times 100 \right)$

2.5.2 Hydrogen Peroxide(H₂O₂) Scavenging Capacity: like the procedure described in the DPPH above, add 300 mg weight of the extract to a volume of 200 mL of water and two milliliters

(2 mL) of H₂O₂ in a ratio of 1:3. The diluted solution was pipetted (20 mL) into little volume (15 mL) of H₂O₂ (1:3) plus 60 mL of water. Standardized potassium permanganate solution was added till the faint pink color appears and persist for 30 sec. and recorded [12].

2.5.3 Ferric reducing antioxidant power: The anti-oxidant ability of the medicinal plant, *P. straminea* stem bark determined by the use of spectrophotometric device (V5800, China) followed by the procedure explained [13].

2.5.4 Metal Ion Chelating Activity: The metal-ion chelating activity related was assessed according to the method explained [14].

2.5.5 Cupric ion reducing antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC) method: The chromogenic oxidizing reagent of the developed CUPRAC method, that is, bis-(neocuproine)-copper (II) chloride [Cu(II)-Nc], reacts with polyphenols [Ar(OH)_n] in the manner according to Apak *et al.*, [15, 16].

2.5.6 Total antioxidant capacity assay (TAC): The total anti-oxidant capacity/ability of the extract, *P. straminea* stem-bark extract was evaluated using spectrophotometric device by a way of employing the phosphor-molybdenum method according to the process explained and recorded [17].

2.6 Study plan

The study is designed as acute (single dose): Vehicle, 100, 200, 400, 800, & 1000 mgkg⁻¹, oral (*P.O*) and sub-acute (repetitive dose for 14 days): Vehicle, 50, 100, 200, 400 and 800 mgkg⁻¹ (*P.O*) of *P.straminea* stem bark hydro-ethanol extract.

2.6.1 *In Vivo* Method of oxidative stress determination

Single and repetitive treatments were employed in this study as explained above. After ten hours of the last administration in each of the treatments, the mice were sacrificed and about 1 ml of blood were obtained from the ocular cavity of each mouse. serum was gotten from the whole blood for evaluation of oxidative stress impact. The liver, kidney and the brain were harvested, homogenized and supernatant of the homogenates were carefully separated into well labelled containers according to their respective groups. The homogenates were stored under frozen condition pending determination of the anti/oxidant markers, myeloperoxidase (MPO), malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione (GSH), and nitric oxide (NO) as described by Gyuraszova et al., [18].

3. RESULTS

The phytochemical screening showed the presence of terpenoid, saponin, flavonoids, phlobatamin, phenolics, alkaloids, steroids, triterpene, phytosterol, tannin (table 1). The *in vitro* assay has shown remarkable free radical scavenging potential of *P. straminea* stem bark hydro-ethanol extract (table 2). The *in vivo* assay has shown statistically significant increase in anti-oxidant bio markers

including SOD, CAT, GSH and statistically significant decrease in oxidative stress bio markers including MDA and MPO (table 3-8) in kidney, liver and brain.

Table 1. Phytochemical Evaluation of *P.straminea* Stem Bark Extract

Class of Secondary Metabolites	Inference
Terpenoids	+
Glycosides	-
Saponin	+
Flavonoids	+
Phlobatamin	+
Phenolics	+
Alkaloids	+
Steroids	+
Triterpene	+
Phytosterol	+
Tanin	+
Carbohydrate	-

Phytochemicals of secondary metabolites. + indicates *presence*; - indicates *absence*

3.1 *In Vitro* Phyto-Anti-Oxidant Evaluation

Table 2. Phyto-Anti-Oxidants: free radical scavenging power of *P. straminea* stem bark hydro-ethanol extract.

Anti-Oxidant Markers	Concentration (ug)							IC ₅₀
	5.6	31.3	62.5	125	250	500	1000	

AA	3.56±1.01	9.28±2.93	22.37±2.26	49.24±2.13	80.21±1.27	120.31±2.56	208.2±3.26	7.31
FRAP	8.8±01.1	12.8±0.2	18.8±0.2	25.7±0.2	29.0±0.2	35.5±1.1	45.4±0.4	22.68
TAC	0.1±0.0	0.6±0.1	2.7±0.3	4.4±0.3	6.6±0.3	17.3±0.5	22.4±0.3	17.24
CUPRAC	0.1±0.0	0.8±0.0	1.3±0.0	1.8±0.0	5.6±0.2	31.8±0.1	49.5±0.5	18.34
Ion Chelating	89.0±10.5	95.0±03.0	128.8±1.0	154.3±3.5	182.0±2.0	381.5±5.0	590.5±0.0	26.71
H₂O₂	6.1±01.2	7.3±01.6	8.8±01.2	11.8±0.3	13.6±0.7	19.4±1.3	25.2±0.5	19.35
DPPH	7.8±01.1	9.7±01.3	10.3±0.1	12.7±0.4	15.3±0.7	22.8±0.7	26.7±0.2	12.41

Table presents anti-oxidant potential of *P.straminea* stem bark extract: the scavenging power showed free radical scavenging potential. CONC. = Concentration, FRAP = Ferric-Reducing-Antioxidant-power, TAC = Total Anti-oxidant Capacity, CUPRAC = Cupric Reducing Anti-Oxidant Capacity, H₂O₂= Hydrogen-peroxide, DPPH = 1,1 Diphenyl-2-Picryl Hydrazyl, AA= Ascorbic Acid, IC₅₀= Inhibitory Concentration

3.2 In Vivo Anti-Oxidant Evaluation of *P. straminea* Stem Bark Hydro-ethanol Extract

Table 3. Acute Evaluation of PSE for Oxidative Stress Markers

Parameters	VEH	100 mg/kgPSE	200 mg/kgPSE	400 mg/kgPSE	800 mg/kgPSE	1000 mg/kgPSE
Liver: MDA	2.2±01.0	1.2±01.1*	1.2±01.1*	1.0±01.1*	1.0±01.0*	0.7±00.1**
Kidney: MDA	2.8±01.0	1.0±01.2*	1.0±01.2	1.0±01.3*	1.0±01.0	0.9±01.0**
Brain: MDA	2.3±01.0	1.9±01.4	1.8±01.2	0.9±01.3	0.8±01.0	0.8±01.0**
Liver: SOD	38.7±0.0	53.7±5.8*	43.5±8.0	57.9±01.3**	52.7±01.0*	58.1±01.0**
Kidney: SOD	44.2±0.0	38.6±6.0	43.6±0.8	49.0±01.3	50.0±01.0	52.0±01.0*
Brain: SOD	25.6±0.0	33.0±0.5*	37.4±1.3	39.1±3.7*	40.5±01.0**	49.7±01.0**
Liver: CAT	30.9±0.0	31.4±3.3	33.9±1.8	37.4±01.7	39.3±01.0	43.0±01.0*
Kidney: CAT	53.2±0.2	55.0±9.3	54.9±6.3	57.6±7.6	63.4±01.0	65.3±01.0*
Brain: CAT	45.0±0.1	58.7±4.0	59.0±1.7	61.1±8.1	63.1±01.0	63.0±01.1**
Liver: GSH	28.6±0.0	33.6±1.8	39.3±1.7	40.0±0.3	46.1±01.1	59.4±01.1**
Kidney: GSH	25.1±0.0	27.9±1.8	33.8±0.9	36.6±0.4	36.5±01.0	47.3±01.1**
Brain: GSH	14.1±0.0	19.6±1.1	21.9±3.2	24.4±7.3	31.0±01.0	40.3±01.0***
Liver: MPO	12.5±0.0	10.4±0.0	11.1±0.8	12.7±0.3	11.2±01.0	10.8±01.0
Kidney: MPO	10.0±0.0	10.6±0.3	07.6±0.9	07.7±0.3	10.4±01.0	08.4±01.0
Brain: MPO	08.0±0.1	05.0±1.5	07.3±1.5	07.4±4.5	07.0±01.0	07.0±01.0

VEH = Vehicle/control, PSE = *P.Straminea* Stem bark Extract. Oxidative stress markers measured with MDA (mmol/MDA wet tissue) = Malondialdehyde; SOD (Unit/mg protein) = Supero-Oxidase Dismutase; CAT (Unit/mg protein) = Catalase; GSH (Unit/GSH mg protein) = glutathione; MPO = Myeloperoxidase, *= significant ($P < 0.038$); **= significant ($P < 0.0024$). ***= significant ($P < 0.001$).

3.3 Oxidative Stress Markers Evaluations

Table 4. Sub-Acute Evaluation of Malondialdehyde (MDA) in Kidney, Liver, Brain and Serum

Parameters	VEH	50 mg/kgPSE	100 mg/kgPSE	200 mg/kgPSE	400 mg/kgPSE	800 mg/kgPSE
Liver: MDA	1.3±0.5	0.8±0.1	0.8±0.1	0.5±0.3	0.3±0.1**	0.2±0.0**
Kidney: MDA	0.3±0.0	0.2±0.1	0.2±0.1	0.3±0.0	0.3±0.0	0.3±0.0
Brain: MDA	1.2±0.5	0.8±1.0	1.0±0.2	1.0±0.5	1.0±0.1	1.0±0.2
SERUM: MDA	17.2±2.1	12.5±1.2	12.5±2.9	14.1±1.9	15.1±0.0	13.8±0.8

VEH = Vehicle/control, PSE = *P. Straminea* Stem bark Extract. Oxidative stress marker, malondialdehyde MDA, (unit/mg protein) measured in kidney, liver, brain and serum showed statistically ** significant ($P < 0.04$).

Table 5. Sub-Acute Evaluation of Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) in Kidney, Liver and Brain

Parameters	VEH	50 mg/kgPSE	100 mg/kgPSE	200 mg/kgPSE	400 mg/kgPSE	800 mg/kgPSE
Liver: SOD	24.9±1.6	25.5±3.0	25.0±3.8	29.6±0.7	35.3±1.6	48.7±0.6*
Kidney: SOD	37.1±0.6	38.2±0.2	38.1±3.3	41.6±6.0	46.5±2.5	49.2±2.2*
Brain: SOD	32.8±0.7	39.3±4.7	35.1±0.2	37.0±2.6	42.1±1.9	45.1±7.9*

VEH = Vehicle/control, PSE = *P. Straminea* Stem bark Extract. Oxidative stress marker, superoxide dismutase, SOD, (unit/mg protein) measured in kidney, liver, and brain showed no statistically *significant ($P < 0.04$).

Table 6. Sub-Acute Evaluation of Catalase (CAT) in Liver, Kidney and Brain

Parameters	VEH	50 mg/kgPSE	100 mg/kgPSE	200 mg/kgPSE	400 mg/kgPSE	800 mg/kgPSE
Liver: CAT	60.0±0.7	65.2±1.6	63.8±3.3	68.4±0.9	75.4±0.9*	76.3±3.8*
Kidney: CAT	52.0±3.8	58.5±1.9	60.7±2.7	63.8±4.2	77.9±1.0*	77.9±6.7*
Brain: CAT	50.3±2.9	54.5±2.2	69.4±5.0	70.8±0.6*	78.9±0.5*	76.0±5.8*

VEH = Vehicle/control, PSE= *P.Straminea* Stem bark Extract. Oxidative stress marker, Catalase = CAT (unit/mg protein) measured in kidney, liver, and brain showed statistically *=significant ($P > 0.04$).

Table 7. Sub-Acute Evaluation of Glutathione (GSH) in Brain, Liver, Kidney

Parameters	VEH	50 mg/kgPSE	100 mg/kgPSE	200 mg/kgPSE	400 mg/kgPSE	800 mg/kgPSE
Liver: GSH	49.2±0.7	68.6±5.3	57.5±0.8	47.6±5.3	103.9±9.7	66.9±1.5*
Kidney: GSH	30.3±2.5	32.6±2.9	39.1±4.6	48.2±4.0	48.7±7.8	66.6±1.2**
Brain: GSH	52.4±2.2	52.1±2.0	56.2±1.5	57.6±0.1	67.2±0.3	67.2±0.8*

VEH=Vehicle/control, PSE = *P.Straminea* Stem bark Extract. Oxidative stress marker, Glutathione, GSH, (unit/mg protein) in kidney, liver, and brain showed statistically *,** significant ($P > 0.04, 0.01$).

Table 8. Sub-Acute Evaluation of Myeloperoxidase (MPO) in Liver, Kidney and Brain

Parameters	VEH	50 mg/kgPSE	100 mg/kgPSE	200 mg/kgPSE	400 mg/kgPSE	800 mg/kgPSE
Liver: MPO	128.5±3.9	56.8±5.7	61.0±4.3	53.4±3.2	88.8±8.5	64.4±3.0*
Kidney: MPO	127.2±3.2	79.6±4.8	81.1±10.2	74.6±7.8	85.8±2.7	65.7±8.0*
Brain: MPO	126.5±8.3	105.0±0.3	94.1±1.0	96.6±3.8	98.1±6.0	102.2±4.8*

VEH = Vehicle/control, PSE = *P.Straminea* Stem bark Extract. Oxidative stress marker, Myeloperoxidase, MPO, (unit/mg protein) measured in kidney, liver, and brain showed statistically *=significant ($P > 0.04$).

4. DISCUSSION

Natural medicinal products of plant origin have been known of its corrective potential especially in oxidative stress induced disorders/disease conditions. It is well known and established fact that some natural medicine of plant source not only does easily get metabolized and eliminated but promotes safety and healing by their free radical scavenging abilities. Phytochemical like phenols, flavonoids, steroidal saponins, organosulphur compounds and vitamins. These phytochemicals in other words known to be secondary metabolites with great anti-oxidant potentials known to ameliorate inflammatory processes of some disease including diabetes, cancer, asthma, cardiovascular disease, neural disorders, among other conditions. Flavonoids and phenols are believed to be the most promising and measured phytochemical constituents among plant secondary metabolites [19]. The phenolic and flavonoid content demonstrated that *P.straminea* possessed the high phenolic and flavonoid content [19]. The hydroxyl groups in phenols and flavonoids are important because they provide redox properties critical for antioxidant action. Cellular integrity plays a vital role in the organ/system sustainable healthy functions in determining the overall body health status. For each and every cell to maintain physiological function, that implies that there is possibility of imbalance between the free radical biomolecules and the anti-oxidants, GSH, CAT, SOD capable of mopping up or reducing the free radical load which in turn reduce cellular stress and instability in functions. Because of these vital secondary metabolites present in some of these plants play health maintenance role by promoting cellular level of GSH, CAT, SOD. The result (table 1) uncover the aspect of terpenoids, saponins, flavonoids, phlobatamin, phenolics, alkaloids, steroids, triterpenes, phytosterol, tannin among other phytochemical [20] in *Parsonsia straminea* stem bark hydro ethanol extract. This evidenced the outcome of the biogenic anti-oxidants (table 3-8) showing no negative lopsidedness. The free radical

scavenging power indicates gradient dependent anti-oxidant activity supported by the IC₅₀ values of less than 10 µg/mL were deemed extremely strongly active, while those with values ranging from 10 to 50 µg/mL were considered to have strong antioxidant activity, and those with values ranging from 50 to 100 µg/mL were regarded to have moderate antioxidant activity [19]. Our results have shown strong anti-oxidant activity (table 2). However, medicinal plants with lack of these secondary constituents will do little or no much corrective impact on health disorder [21].

5. CONCLUSION

This study suggested that there is anti-oxidant possibilities of *P. straminea* stem bark through the *in vitro* and *in vivo* models in acute and sub-acute phases of exposure.

6. DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTERLIGENCE)

Authors declare that no generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

9. REFERENCE

- [1] Karthik K. (2021). What Does Oxidative Stress Mean? Free Radical Damage. MedicineNet. Retrieved on April 7, 2022.
- [2] Gladys B, Marion D, Edward P. N, Morrow, J D, Mark H, Bette C, Lester P. (2002). Factors Associated with Oxidative Stress in Human Populations, American Journal of Epidemiology, Volume 156, Issue 3, Pages 274–285, <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwf029>
- [3] Timothy J.L. (2018). Everything you should know about Oxidative stress. Health-line. Retrieved 7th April 2022.
- [4] Xu, D.P., Li, Y., Meng, X., Zhou, T., Zhou, Y., Zheng, J., Zhang, J. J., & Li, H. B. (2017). Natural Antioxidants in Foods and Medicinal Plants: Extraction, Assessment and Resources. International journal of molecular sciences, 18(1), 96. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18010096>

- [5] Mueller, F.J.H von. (1863). *Parsonsia straminea* (R.Br.). The plants indigenous to the colony of Victoria.2:t.58.
- [6] Md. Shahidul I, & Rasheda A .L. (2019). A Study on Different Plants of Apocynaceae Family and their Medicinal Uses. 2019. 4, (1)
- [7] Grandhin T. Recommended animal handling guidelines and audit guide: A Systematic Approach to Animal welfare. (2021).1-129
- [8] Sofowora, A. (1993). *Medicinal Plants and Traditional medicine in Africa*. 2nd ed. Ibadan: Spectrum Books Ltd. Stahl, S. M. (2003). Brainstorms: symptoms and circuits, part 2: anxiety disorders. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 64, 1408-9.
- [9] Ayoola G.A, Coker H.A.B, Adesegun S.A, Adepoju-Bello A.A, Obaweya K, Ezennia E.C, Atangbayila T.O. (2008). Phytochemical Screening and Antioxidant Activities of Some Selected Medicinal Plants Used for Malaria Therapy in Southwestern Nigeria. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 7 (3): 1019-1024
- [10] Harborne, J.B. (1998). *Textbook of Phytochemical Methods. A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis*. 5th Edition, Chapman and Hall Ltd, London, 21-72
- [11] Mensor, L.L, (2001). Screening of Brazillian plant extracts for antioxidant activity by the use of DPPH free radical method. *Phytotherapy research*. 15(2):127-30. doi.10.1002/ptr.687
- [12] Al-Obaidi M.H.A (2020). Assay of hydrogen peroxide solution. Laboratory for the third stage. *Organic pharmaceutic chemistry*. Page 1-4
- [13] Benzie I.F, Strain J.J. (1996). The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as measurement of “antioxidant power” The FRAP assay. *Anal Biochem*; 239:70-6.
- [14] Zhengjun, X., Junrong, H., Xueming, X., and Zhengyu, J. (2008). Antioxidant activity of peptides isolated from alfalfa leaf protein hydrolysate. *Food Chem*. 111, 370–376. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.03.078
- [15] Apak, R., Güçlü, K., Özyürek, M., and Çelik, S. E. (2008). Mechanism of antioxidant capacity assays and the CUPRAC (CupricIon Reducing Antioxidant Capacity) assay, *Microchim. Acta*, 160,413-419.
- [16] Ezennia, E.C. & Atangbayila, T.O. (2008). Phytochemical Screening and Antioxidant for Neuroprotective Drug Discovery. Pages 237-320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809593-5.00006-9>
- [17] Prieto P., Pineda M. & Aguilar M., (1999). Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant capacity through the formation of a phosphomolybdenum complex: Specific application to the determination of vitamin E. *Anal. Biochem.*, 269: 337-341
- [18] Gyurászová M, Kovalčíková A, Janšáková K, Šebeková K, Celec P, Tóthová Ľ. (2018). Markers of oxidative stress and antioxidant status in the plasma, urine and saliva of healthy mice. 18;67(6):921-934. doi: 10.33549/physiolres.933866. Epub 2018 Sep 11.

- [19] Mavie Rose Kongolo Kalemba, Rhulani Makhuvele and Patrick Berka Njobeh. 2024. Phytochemical screening, antioxidant activity of selected methanolic plant extracts and their detoxification capabilities against AFB1 toxicity. Volume 10, Issue 2, 30 January 2024, e24435
- [20] Payne, A.C., Mazzer, A., Clarkson, G.J., & Taylor, G. (2013). Antioxidant assays - consistent findings from FRAP and ORAC reveal a negative impact of organic cultivation on antioxidant potential in spinach but not watercress or rocket leaves. *Food science & nutrition*, 1(6), 439–444. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.71>
- [21] Okaiyeto K, Oguntibeju OO. African Herbal Medicines: Adverse Effects and Cytotoxic Potentials with Different Therapeutic Applications. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021 Jun 2;18(11):5988. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18115988. PMID: 34199632; PMCID: PMC8199769.