

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127193
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of seed morphological characters of landraces and improved varieties of rice using image analyzer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community regarding rice.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable after corrections.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO , The Abstract section is not OK. It needs vigorous revision as per the instruction mentioned in the bodies of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript will be appropriate, after the corrections as per instructions given in the bodies of the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, good and interesting for rice variety improvement.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NO , The Reference section is not OK. It needs vigorous revision as per the instruction mentioned in the bodies of the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, sufficient.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Sir,</p> <p>I have thoroughly reviewed the paper titled: Evaluation of seed diversity of landraces and improved varieties of rice through morphological characters using image analyzer for the Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology (ISSN: 2394-1081). I also have made some corrections and comments on the bodies of the given paper. My brief comments as reviewer's on the given paper are given below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Uniform style of the JAERI needs to be thoroughly followed, as mentioned in the given 'Author guidelines' of the Journal for its acceptance, especially in case of Abstract and Reference section. These sections need vigorous revision as per instruction for its acceptance.2. The Table style of the paper need to be revised as per instruction.3. The manuscript is relevant for publication in the Journal as its content is good, interesting and smart.4. <u>Except abstract and reference sections</u>, Minor revision is needed as per the instructions given in the bodies of the manuscript for its acceptance.5. Finally, the manuscript can be accepted as a Full length scientific paper after the corrections of the instructions given in the bodies of the manuscript especially for Abstract and Reference sections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mir Sharf Uddin Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI), Bangladesh