

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127015
Title of the Manuscript:	CAR-T Cell Therapy: Hope and Healing in the Battle Against Cancer
Type of the Article	Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript CAR-T Cell Therapy: Hope and Healing in the Battle Against Cancer is of paramount importance to the scientific community, as it delves into the advancements, mechanisms, and therapeutic potential of CAR-T cell therapy in treating various types of cancer. CAR-T cell therapy, an innovative form of immunotherapy, has revolutionized oncology by offering targeted, personalized treatment options, especially for patients with otherwise refractory cancers. This work not only highlights the therapy's transformative effects on patient outcomes but also underscores the ongoing challenges, such as managing side effects and expanding applicability. By providing critical insights into these aspects, the manuscript fosters further research and clinical progress in cancer immunotherapy, ultimately contributing to improved patient care.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript CAR-T Cell Therapy: Hope and Healing in the Battle Against Cancer demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its comprehensive analysis of CAR-T cell therapy, underpinned by recent clinical data, molecular mechanisms, and detailed case studies. The authors have utilized peer-reviewed sources, validated methodologies, and rigorous data interpretation to ensure accuracy and reliability in presenting how CAR-T cells target specific cancer antigens. By addressing both the successes and limitations of CAR-T therapy, including adverse effects and challenges in scaling, the manuscript provides a balanced, evidence-based perspective. This careful approach makes it a credible resource for advancing understanding and further research in cancer treatment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient but some of the references are not recent.	
Minor REVISION comments	English quality is ordinary but may be suitable for scholarly communications.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumit Nandi
Department, University & Country	Gupta College of Technological Sciences, India