

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126854
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the efficacy of two adjuvant chemotherapeutic regimens against malignant canine mammary tumours
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The investigation of effective treatments for malignant canine mammary tumors (CMT) is an increasingly relevant topic within veterinary oncology. CMT represents one of the most common types of cancer in female dogs, with high morbidity and a considerable mortality rate if not adequately treated. Effective treatment strategies are crucial, as they not only improve the quality of life of affected animals but also extend survival times, addressing both clinical and ethical concerns among pet owners and veterinarians alike. Adjuvant chemotherapy, typically used in conjunction with surgical resection of tumors, holds significant promise in managing these malignancies. However, the variability in tumor behavior, including rates of recurrence and metastasis, necessitates a comprehensive assessment of chemotherapy regimens to determine which combinations offer the most benefit. Comparative studies that evaluate the efficacy and safety of different adjuvant chemotherapeutic options allow for the identification of optimal protocols, which can help reduce side effects while maximizing therapeutic outcomes. Research such as the "Evaluation of the Efficacy of Two Adjuvant Chemotherapeutic Regimens Against Malignant Canine Mammary Tumours" is therefore essential for advancing knowledge in this area. It enables evidence-based treatment recommendations, improves patient outcomes, and enhances overall care standards in veterinary oncology.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	+/- The annotation needs to be thoroughly revised and supplemented. Take note of the comments in the article!! Has a pathohistological diagnosis been carried out? The final diagnosis was made in what way Specify the breed, sex, body weight, and basic clinical indicators (body temperature, respiratory rate, etc.). Please indicate the manufacturer of the drug, the country, the dosage, the frequency, the method of administration Please indicate their anatomical name (lymph node) and localization Please make a specific assessment of changes in clinical signs by day. ...hypersensitivity reaction was ... What did you mean?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The article is structured.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is written on a current topic. The material and methods should be seriously revised and supplemented. Pay attention to the comments in the text!! The treatment method has been known for almost 30 years. Many well-known works also describe treatment protocols.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	REFERENCES should be made according to the requirements of the journal. Add DOI. Add new sources for the last 2-5 years.	

Review Form 3

<p>=</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The text needs serious proofreading by a native English speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The text needs serious proofreading by a native English speaker.</p> <p>Add CONSENT</p> <p>ETHICAL APPROVAL</p> <p>Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)</p> <p>Has a pathohistological diagnosis been carried out? The final diagnosis was made in what way Specify the breed, sex, body weight, and basic clinical indicators (body temperature, respiratory rate, etc.). Please indicate the manufacturer of the drug, the country, the dosage, the frequency, the method of administration Please indicate their anatomical name (lymph node) and localization Please make a specific assessment of changes in clinical signs by day. ...hypersensitivity reaction was ... What did you mean? The article is written on a current topic. The material and methods should be seriously revised and supplemented. Pay attention to the comments in the text!! The treatment method has been known for almost 30 years. Many well-known works also describe treatment protocols. The article is structured. The text needs serious proofreading by a native English speaker.</p> <p>2. MATERIAL AND METHODS</p> <p>Povidone iodine solution Please indicate the manufacturer's company, country saphenous vein or cephalic vein Please enter the <i>anatomical name</i> (in Latin)</p> <p>Fig 02: Specify the anatomical topography of the mammary glands 2.4-2.6: Please provide detailed information about the devices, the devices, the country of manufacture, and the model. Specify the place of research Specify which indicators were determined and in which units of measurement</p> <p>day 0: The beginning of treatment or the first day of the study will be correct. Make corrections in the entire text and in the labels of figures and tables TLC: decipher the abbreviation TEC: decipher the abbreviation Table 01.→Table 1, ets. Fig 01: → Fig. 1, ets. 3.2 Serum biochemistry</p> <p>Importantly. All indicators must be reported in the International Metric System (SI) https://unitslab.com/ru/node/43 3.4 Ultrasonographic examination</p> <p>Please specify the soographic apparatus, probes and examination mode (anatomical topography, frequency of probes, etc.). In the text and designation of pictures</p> <p>4. CONCLUSION Dispose, please!! Here it is better to pay attention to your treatment experience, critically indicate the advantages or disadvantages of therapy schemes and prospects for further research.</p> <p>7. REFERENCES</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mykola Zhelavskyi
Department, University & Country	Vinnytsia National Agrarian University, Ukraine