

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122795
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on soil chemical properties as influenced by various sources of organic nutrients in rabi grown rapeseed
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very important for scientific community because it deals with a very interesting subject which aims to evaluate the effect of various organic soil amendments on the soil chemical properties under grown rapeseed. Then, the control of the chemical characteristics of the soil, such as pH, nutrient availability, cation exchange capacity (CEC), organic matter content, and salinity, is essential to its effective growth.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is very comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct with minor revisions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are.	
Minor REVISION comments	The English language of the article is very accepted for scholarly communications.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>Firstly, see the author instructions.</p> <p>Then, a short introduction concerning the choice and/ or the role of the various treatment grown rapeseed.</p> <p>The treatment that gave the best result should be mentioned in result part of abstract.</p> <p>You must mentioned why did choose the doses of the treatments.</p> <p>Put all the analysis methods and theses references in table 1.</p> <p>Put ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</p> <p>References should be numbered in the order of appearance in the text (see the author instructions).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benouadah Salima
Department, University & Country	Abdelhamid Ibn Badis Mostaganem, Algeria