

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_126850
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Complicated Crown Fracture with Rapid Orthodontic Extrusion and Glass Fibre Post: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant as it presents a well-documented case of managing complicated crown fractures using a multidisciplinary approach, combining endodontics, orthodontics, and prosthodontics. The case highlights a minimally invasive treatment protocol, which contributes to both functional and aesthetic outcomes. This type of report is essential for the scientific community as it showcases effective techniques for challenging dental trauma cases, promoting best practices in clinical dentistry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title "Management of Complicated Crown Fracture with Rapid Orthodontic Extrusion and Glass Fibre Post: A Case Report" is appropriate. It clearly reflects the content and methodology discussed in the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and outlines the problem, treatment approach, and results effectively. However, a brief mention of the long-term follow-up and patient outcome could enhance the abstract's conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subsections are appropriate for a case report. The manuscript effectively follows a logical flow from introduction, case presentation, treatment methodology, discussion, and conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and provides a robust explanation of the treatment procedure, supported by relevant literature. The methodology described is technically accurate, particularly the orthodontic extrusion technique and the use of a glass fibre post for reinforcement.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used are relevant and recent enough for the topic at hand. However, adding more recent literature discussing similar cases or advancements in the use of fiber posts and rapid orthodontic extrusion techniques could provide further context and strengthen the report.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is suitable for scholarly communication, but minor edits could improve the flow and readability, such as ensuring consistent use of dental terminology and refining sentence structure for clarity.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suhailath Aslam
Department, University & Country	Sree Anjaneya Institute of Dental Sciences, India