

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_126850
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Complicated Crown Fracture with Rapid Orthodontic Extrusion and Glass Fibre Post: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Management of complicated crown fracture involves a multidisciplinary approach and there is a wide array of options available.If the fracture line is subgingival then there are both restorative and periodontal implications which have to be taken into consideration before formulating a treatment plan. The case has not been discussed in a detailed manner. Occlusal pre op radiograph is missing.Alternative treatment options could have been considered.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is appropriate for the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is appropriate.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Consider adding some more points in the introduction.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	You have written a sentence in discussion "This technique is deemed safe regarding the risk of root resorption".....Can you please explain how orthodontic extrusion is safe with respect to root resorption as we very well know that rapid extrusion can lead to root resorption.Your sentence seems to be in contradiction to the literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Number of references can be increased upto 15.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The discussion part seems to be incomplete.Kindly reframe and restructure the last paragraph.The language can also be improvised.Special emphasis should be on the flow of the manuscript.There seems to be a break in the continuity especially in the discussion part.	
Optional/General comments	1.Were any alternative treatment options discussed with the patient?if yes, then why didn't you consider doing crown lengthening followed by cast post and core in this case?In my opinion that would have been a faster and better option. 2.No radiograph is there after post space preparation and cementation of fibre post. 3.The post space was prepared upto size 3 but fibre post of diameter 1.80mm was used.How come such a large diameter post fitted into such a narrow post space? 4.Was the tooth surface etched separately before fibre post placement? 5.If Biomechanical preparation was done with rotary files,then why was lateral condensation done?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faiza Javed
Department, University & Country	Dr.Ziauddin Ahmad Dental College,AMU, India