

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126879
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF APPLICATION OF NPK, FERMENTED ORGANIC MANURES AND MYCORHIZA ON YIELD AND PRODUCTION OF GREEN GRAM (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) var. PDM-139.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the manuscript because of is well structured and very easy to read and understand	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but need little adjustment	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, its comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A well detailed explanation of the technical procedure used to achieve the result output of the aim of the manuscript was well explained by the author.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, it is	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lasoun Linda Olukemi
Department, University & Country	National Space Research and Development Agency (NASRDA), Nigeria