

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_127012
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS STUDIES IN LOCAL GERMPLASM OF SESAME (<i>Sesamum indicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of Correlation and Path Analysis Studies in Local Germplasm of Sesame is of significant importance in the field of agricultural research, particularly in crop breeding and improvement programs. Sesame (Sesamum indicum) is an important oilseed crop, valued for its nutritional benefits and oil content. Understanding the genetic variability and relationships between various traits in local germplasm is crucial for improving sesame productivity and quality. Here's why correlation and path analysis studies are vital:	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific correctness of articles is crucial for maintaining the integrity and reliability of research, especially in fields like agriculture, where the information can directly impact practices, policies, and outcomes. The scientific correctness of articles ensures that the findings, methodologies, interpretations, and conclusions are based on valid, reproducible, and peer-reviewed data. Here's a breakdown of what constitutes scientific correctness in articles:	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Bit modification is required	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indra Vijay Singh
Department, University & Country	MIT Karnatka, India