

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_127360
Title of the Manuscript:	Curcumin as a Complementary Therapy for Irritable Bowel Syndrome with Diarrhea: Efficacy and Alternative Approaches
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript provides insightful and effective information for complementary use of the curcumin in management of IBS with diarrhea. Its also provide alternative and non-pharmaceutical approaches by exploring and addressing the key therapeutical gaps. This study is potentially helpful for the gastroenterologists and for the candidates working in IBS management. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No change requires to make in the title manuscript. It's suitable, precise and effectively reflects the focus of study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Required addition: Add scope of the study and key findings of the study to give a stronger summary.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Every point is well organized including discussion, methodology and clear subsections.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific correctness <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is robust and provide effective discussion on both the efficacy and curcumin's limitation for IBS. The systemic review method is appropriate and increasing the conclusion's reliability. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References <ol style="list-style-type: none"> References added are updated and are relevant to the IBS and curcumin research. Suggestion: to further enhance and strengthen the manuscript, add studies from past 2 years on complementary studies for IBS, if available. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Suggestion: Avoid redundancy while discussing the mechanism of curcumin and focus on sentence flow movement.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Discuss potential limitation to provide balanced perspective, like variability in curcumin's absorption or potential interactions. Manuscript is sound scientifically but need minor revisions such as abstract content, reference addition and flow can help improve the quality of manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad talha
Department, University & Country	Comsats university islamabad, lahore campus, Pakistan