

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_127260
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitude, and perception of Nicotine Replacement Therapy among Bangladeshi physicians
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
-		

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>1] The title should be made more authentic and more meaningful</p> <p>2] Abstract needs to be added and should be around 200-250 words in paragraph</p> <p>3] Add some keywords related to the title</p> <p>4] Add some images, schematic diagrams, flow charts etc.</p> <p>5] Increase the content for the review paper</p> <p>6] Add case studies related to the topic and examples related to it</p> <p>7] The same referencing style should be used for all the references</p> <p>8] Add state-of-the-art in this paper</p> <p>9] The length of the conclusion is too short, increase the content</p> <p>10] Follow the paper guidelines according to journal</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahir Nasir Sheikh
Department, University & Country	RTM Nagpur University Campus Nagpur, India