

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_127091
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Annotated Bangla Natural Language Processing (BNLP) Using Python and Machine Learning</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The detailed explanation of vectorization or embedding techniques used for Bangla language processing, along with the ML or DL models employed, is missing. The results are not appropriate; they should be compared with alternative approaches for a more comprehensive evaluation. Technical Architecture is not presented. No explanation about dataset. The theoretical concepts are explained in greater detail than the innovative contributions. Future scope need to explain with consideration of recent advancement in technology.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	NO	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Moderate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	At least 30 and must cite recent and reputed journals.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Major Revision	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nilesh B. Korade
Department, University & Country	SPPU, India