

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_127091
Title of the Manuscript:	Annotated Bangla Natural Language Processing (BNLP) Using Python and Machine Learning
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is not up to the level in terms of technicality and novelty. It is not suitable for publication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is very basic and it is not conveying any information.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The key simulation results, the improvements and applications should be mentioned in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, Introduction part. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Introduction section, the drawbacks of each conventional technique should be described clearly. 2. You should emphasize the difference between other methods to clarify the position of this work further. 3. The Wide ranges of applications need to be addressed in the Introduction 4. Add the advantages of the proposed system in one quoted line for justifying the proposed approach in the Introduction section. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	List out the contributions and the organization of the paper below the introduction paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Authors can refer to some latest related works from reputed journals like IEEE/ACM Transactions, Elsevier, Inderscience, Springer, Taylor & Francis, etc.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The writing of the paper needs a lot of improvement in terms of grammar, spellings, and presentations. The paper needs careful English polishing since there are many typos and poorly written sentences.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.Balakrishnan
Department, University & Country	Aarupadai Veedu Institute of Technology, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation (DU), India