

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127544
Title of the Manuscript:	Code-Switching in University English Classrooms: Business English Students' Perceptions of ESP Learners
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Studies on code-switching in the classroom are significant because they add to a fuller understanding of how language acts as a tool for communication, identity, and learning. I, too, have conducted studies on code-switching. They facilitate the establishment of inclusive, friendly, and effective educational settings for multilingual students, enhance teaching methods, and confront linguistic biases, so guaranteeing that all students are empowered to achieve academic and social success.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is appropriate for the study concerning code-switching.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Most (if not all) research journal requires an abstract of 150 to 300 words. The abstract should briefly state the study's purpose or objective, methods or approach (if applicable), key results or findings, conclusion or implications, and word count constraints. It should be concise, clear, and informative. The abstract of this study is only 125 words.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, I would like to suggest transferring the research questions as part of the introduction, and NOT a part of research method. Furthermore, I recommend that the open-ended questions be evaluated using thematic analysis.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study is scientifically robust and technically sound as it is well-designed, methodologically rigorous, ethically conducted, and transparently reported thereby yielding findings which are valid, reliable, and useful for advancing knowledge in the field, specifically in code-switching.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	For topics that evolve quickly, such as code-switching, I suggest prioritizing recent research (1–5 years). Although older references are acceptable as they remain relevant, there are much recent research that reflect new and novel ideas on code-switching. A combination of both recent and classic references is ideal to ensure your research is both informed by the latest knowledge and grounded in established theories.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I found the language used in the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ronnie L. Torres</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Saint Nicholas School English Programme – Oxford International Curriculum, Thailand</b>