

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127288
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction of Attitude Scale towards Choice Based Credit System (CBCS)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study aims to explore the quality of each item of attitude related to choice based credit system (CBCS). Purpose sampling technique has been used for the collection of relevant data in this study. A self-made structured questionnaire was drafted for this study to a group of undergraduate students. After review of previous paper and article, thirty two (32) items has been selected under the different type dimensionsi.etc., Curriculum and Subject Selection, Evaluation and Feedback, Students Interest, Skill and Development, and Time, in the item pool for this attitude scale. Likert summative five (5) point rating scale was used in this scale/tool.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, Article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The construction of the Attitude Scale towards the Choice Based Credit System (CBCS) has significant implications for educational research and policy. It provides a reliable tool for assessing students' and educators' attitudes towards CBCS, enabling stakeholders to understand its acceptance and perceived benefits or drawbacks. Insights from the scale can inform curriculum development, guide policy adjustments, and enhance the implementation of CBCS to better meet students' needs.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language used is proper	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tejashwini K C
Department, University & Country	GM University, India