

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127288
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction of Attitude Scale towards Choice Based Credit System (CBCS)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Implementation of CBCS and National Educational Policy 2020 has resulted in significant changes in teaching pedagogy and program curriculum. Researchers are interested in studying the various attitudinal aspect of stakeholders. For this a reliable and valid measurement scale is essential tool. This study is a novel attempt in this direction and may help the researchers working on educational and behavioural research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article need some revision and can be made clearer and more precise. Example : "Assessing students' attitude towards choice based credit system: Developing the effective measurement scale"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good but it will be great if author can add little more about the finding precisely.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Good to go	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific correctness of the given article is doubtful as author has failed to give detailed annexures for output files and major statements used. In order to make article technically strong output files of factor analysis needs to be appended and should be discussed in detail. Methodology needs little improvements.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Although CBCS is among the latest development in education industry but significant literature in public domain is available. Author may be asked to cite the latest work in the field of study.	
Minor REVISION comments	Nice attempt. But several grammatical errors need immediate intervention of the author.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Improve the flow in the article. Add appendix or list of statement. Validity and reliability needs to be answered using latest tools and techqioes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandeep Aggarwal
Department, University & Country	Maharshi Dayanand University, India