

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127288
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction of Attitude Scale towards Choice Based Credit System (CBCS)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is significant for the scientific and educational community as it addresses a pressing need to explore the quality of each item of attitude related to choice based credit system (CBCS), a flexible curriculum framework being widely adopted in higher education. The purpose of this study is to investigate each attitude item's quality in relation to the choice-based credit system (CBCS). By creating an accurate and dependable scale of CBCS, the study provides researchers, educators, and policymakers with a useful tool to measure the system's efficacy and acceptability.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus of the study.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provides an overview of the study but could be improved by summarizing key findings more concisely. It should explicitly state the study's significance and implications. Including reliability and validity results strengthens the abstract, but excessive details on methodology, such as item elimination, can be reduced.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its systematic approach to scale construction and validation. The use of a structured questionnaire and the application of rigorous item analysis, including the Discrimination Index (DI), ensure the reliability and precision of the tool. The high Pearson correlation coefficient ( $r = 0.89$ ) indicates strong internal consistency, which enhances the scale's credibility. Additionally, the focus on diverse dimensions such as curriculum, evaluation, and skill development make the scale comprehensive and relevant for assessing attitudes towards CBCS.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Amlsh Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT), India</b>