

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCRS_127153 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Acute necrotizing colitis : a case report and literature review. |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It is an interesting case association of SARS Covid with Necrosis of colon. This article would be helpful in creating a high index of suspicion regarding this association among the treating physicians. Intra-operative images are Good. The article is concise and to the point with a proper description of presentation and treatment | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract is satisfactory | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes but Discussion needs to be more elaborate | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This case report looks similar to Ischaemic colitis which is fairly common in adults. It has been compared to Nectrozing enterocolitis in newborns which has a different pathophysiology. So it would be better to specify the similarities of this condition to NEC in newborns and why it is not considered as ischemic colitis. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. - | Yes | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Language is ok but there are few words which need correction | |
| Optional/General comments | 1) 4 day history of occlusion – does it mean constipation?- Page 1 2) Confection of double stoma – Creation or Construction ?- Page 2 3) Abdominal Contracture – Does it mean guarding? – Page 3 4) CT scan with injection - Does it mean iv Contrast?- Page 1 5) All the other highlighted words have spacing issues. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Athiya Perveen K |
| Department, University & Country | Government Medical College, Kottayam, India |