

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_126213
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rare Case Of Adult Onset IgA Vasculitis
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Skin rash and pain abdomen are common presentation of IgA vasculitis. IgA vasculitis findings in UGI endoscopy mentioned in this case is non specific. Workup for renal involvement is not mentioned in detail. Whether NSAIDS were tried before steroids and how long steroids were given for this patient is noit mentioned	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Already known facts of HSP are written but rarer presentations can be mentioned in discussion part	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	ok	
Minor REVISION comments	ok	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	More details of workup for GI bleeding- whether it was malena or fresh GI bleed to be clearly mentioned. If IgA deposits were found in UGI biopsy to be mentioned	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jenish Rajma
Department, University & Country	All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India