

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_127217
Title of the Manuscript:	Consumption of milk and milk produces influenced by dietary habits in Kamrup district of Assam : A study
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a good article. Determining the dairy consumption pattern for the target population can affect the producers' strategy. Therefore, the results of the article can be applied and used by milk and other dairy producers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Considering the comparison of the two consumer groups, it seems that the title could have been more comprehensive. Suggested title: Consumption of milk and other dairy products as influenced by dietary habits of vegetarians and non-vegetarians in Kamrup district of Assam: A study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is appropriate. But it should be in Different sub-sections as sample	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	New articles have been used in the literature and research background, and of course, there is the possibility of expanding the literature towards the causes of the tendency to consume as well as methods of changing eating habits.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The article sources are good and up-to-date.	
Minor REVISION comments	suitable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Overall, the article is good. The suggestion regarding the title and completion of the literature is to improve the research work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Piri
Department, University & Country	Malayer University, Iran