

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_126973
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Gender Inclusion in Credit Utilization among Farmers in Ondo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Most studies focus on farmers credit accessibility, but this study focused on gender inclusiveness in credit utilization, which is an eye-opener to the various credit facilities, providing credit services to farmers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The topic is suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subheadings are appropriate for the manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper will inform stakeholders on how the gender of an individual affects credit accessibility and utilization.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient for the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments	The language used is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data analysis tool for the study was not mentioned 2. Linear and Logistic regression equation was not stated 3. Some of the descriptives should be presented in charts and graphs to enhance visualization 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dorcas Twumwaa Gyan
Department, University & Country	Southern University and A&M College, USA