

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_126116
Title of the Manuscript:	To Study the utilization of Arrowroot Powder (Maranta arundinacea L.) in the Preparation of Probiotic Shrikhand and their physico-chemical quality
Type of the Article	Short research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I'm not too fond of this manuscript for some reasons as follows: 1-The parameters were measured in this paper, not including the method to judge the efficiency of the probiotic in the final product, like the count of <i>Lactobacillus casei</i> before and after and other chemical tests. 2-In case of fortification or supplementation, we prefer to try many of the ratios to reach the unsuccessful ratio and stop. 3-Fortified or supplemented products require sensory evaluation to ensure the final product is good and accepted or not. 4- Using a Randomized Blocks Design is more accurate than a Completely Randomized Design. If the treatments were significant, which test was used to compare between treatments (using LSD or Duccan or Tucky, etc)? 5-The author did not interpret why 5 % is the best treatment and which parameter is used to confirm this result. 6- Results did not include enough interpretations or citations. 7- The number of references is very few.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Just correction The Study of the Utilization of Arrowroot Powder (<i>Maranta arundinacea</i> L.) in the Preparation of Probiotic Shrikhand and their Physicochemical Quality.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please add the chemical tests and the results in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, But I don't know why the author repeated the results under the title Chemical Composition of Probiotic shrikhand.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In my opinion, this paper is not scientifically enough. I remembered some reasons for my opinion above.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, but I prefer to do more editing.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Fekry Mansour Serag El-Din
Department, University & Country	Menofia University, Egypt