Identification of SSR Markers Linked to Mung Bean Mung bean yellow mosaic virus Resistance in Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) Using Bulked Segregant Analysis in $F_{2:3}$ Population

ABSTRACT

Aims: In blackgram (*Vigna mungo (L.)* Hepper), mung bean mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) disease causes severe yield reduction. MAS (Marker Assisted Selection) can be used to improve the selection efficiency for MYMV resistance**.** Hence the present study was carried out to use bulked segregant analysis (BSA) and simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker validation tests to find the simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers associated to MYMV resistance in the blackgram segregating population, ($F_{2:3}$) of ADT 3 x IC343856.

Study Design: $F_{2:3}$ generated 60 single plants of ADT 3 x IC343856 were raised with regular rows of MYMV susceptible check variety CO 5 to draw white flies and thus to improve MYMV infection under field screening. To identify the SSR markers associated to MYMV resistance, bulk segregant analysis and marker validation tests were conducted.

Place and Duration of Study: The experiment was carried out at Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, VOC Agricultural College and Research Institute, Killikulam, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India from 2020 to 2022.

Methodology: The $F_{2:3}$ mapping population of the cross, ADT 3 x IC 343856 was used for BSA using 50 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for mung bean mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) resistance studies in blackgram.

Results: Four markers *viz.,* CEDG008, CEDG271, VM6 and CEDG264 exhibited polymorphism between the parents. The $F_{2:3}$ segregants were raised along with their parents and check variety CO 5 was raised as infector rows to ensure the disease incidence in the population. An equal number of two extreme genotypes (10 resistant and 10 susceptible respectively) were pooled to form the bulks. Among the four polymorphic markers studied, CEDG 008 was able to differentiate resistant and susceptible bulks and their corresponding individuals (120 bp and 110 bp respectively). From the previous reports, it has been confirmed that CEDG 008 is a potential marker for MYMV resistance studies in different genetic backgrounds.

Key words: *Blackgram, Bulked segregant analysis, simple sequence repeat markers, mung bean mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance*

1. INTRODUCTION

The main protein source in the diet is pulses. *Vigna* species is most important among them. Due to its vegetable protein content and ability to supplement a diet focused on cereals, the pulse known as "blackgram" (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) is an essential part of the Indian diet. About 26% of blackgram is protein, which is about three times as much than cereals, along with other vitamins and minerals (Priya

38 *et al.* 2021). Besides, it is also used as nutritive fodder, especially for milch animals. By fixing the
39 atmospheric nitrogen in the soil it can improve the soil fertility level (Gomathi *et al.* 2023). Its primary
40 origin is India, and it is mostly grown in Asian nations such as Pakistan, Myanmar, and some regions of
41 Southern Asia. India produces over 70% of the blackgram produced worldwide. India is the biggest
42 producer and user of blackgram worldwide. From 4.6 million hectares of land, India produces roughly
43 24.5 lakh tons of blackgram annually, with an average productivity of 533 kg per hectare in 2020–21
44 (agricoop.nic.in). About 19% of India's total pulse acreage, or 23% of the country's entire pulse
45 production, is made up of blackgram.

46 The productivity is significantly lower than the global average, even though the country has the
47 largest production area. Low variability, a poor harvest index, a lack of suitable ideotypes for various
48 cropping systems, and the crop's vulnerability to biotic and abiotic stresses are the primary obstacles to
49 the development of high-yielding blackgram cultivars. When biotic and abiotic stressors are prevalent,
50 selection becomes more difficult. A major issue with blackgram production is the Mung bean yellow
51 mosaic virus disease, which is brought on by the begomo virus and spread by the White fly (*Bemisia*
52 *tabaci*). Yield reductions of up to 100% have been documented (Nene, 1972). A cost-effective and long-
53 lasting way to manage viral infections is to cultivate MYMV resistant blackgram cultivars.

54 MYMIV (Mung bean Yellow Mosaic India Virus) is the primary cause of yellow mosaic illness in
55 Northern and Central India, whereas MYMV (Mung bean Mung bean yellow mosaic virus) is the primary
56 cause in Southern and Western India (Usharani *et al.* 2004). Blackgram has a genetically regulated
57 resistance to MYMV and MYMIV. Blackgram's MYMV resistance is inherited by a single recessive gene
58 (Singh and Chaudhary 1979); (Thakur *et al.* 1977); (Saleem *et al.* 1998); (Malik *et al.* 1986); (Reddy and
59 Singh 1995) and (Reddy 2009), dominant gene (Gupta *et al.* 2005); (Ammavasai *et al.* 2004) and (Singh
60 and Singh 2006) and complementary recessive genes (Shukla and Pandya 1985). MYMV resistance
61 blackgram genotypes could be created using molecular biology and biotechnology methods like genetic
62 transformation and marker-assisted selection (Xu *et al.* 2000).

63 Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers are the most user-friendly, highly polymorphic, and
64 reproducible of all the marker kinds. These markers are tandemly repeated, locus-specific, and short
65 sequence repeats of the genome's mono, di, tri, and tetra nucleotides (Tóth *et al.* 2000). Blackgram
66 contains lack of genomic resources and limited SSR markers (Souframanien and Reddy 2015) and this is
67 the main cause of the dearth of research on mapping with SSR markers. To find the gene of interest, it is
68 crucial to determine the markers associated with a trait. The genetic map was first created using a
69 technique called bulked segregant analysis (BSA) (Michelmore *et al.* 1991). Using molecular markers,
70 BSA is used to target areas of segregation or regions of interest in breeding populations. This technique
71 is particularly helpful for quickly isolating genes that do not segregate in breeding populations.
72 Considering these factors, the current study was conducted to identify the SSR markers linked to MYMV
73 resistance in the blackgram segregating population ($F_{2:3}$) of ADT 3 x IC343856 using BSA and SSR
74 marker validation studies.

75 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

76 2.1. Experimental materials

77 The field experiments were carried out at Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, VOC Agricultural College and Research Institute, Killikulam, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India from the year 2020 to 2022. The genotype ADT 3, a popular rice fallow blackgram variety but susceptible to MYMV and IC 343856, an MYMV resistant genotype and an indigenous collection received from NBPGR, New Delhi were used as female and male parents respectively for the hybridization. $F_{2:3}$ mapping population developed from this cross was used for screening on MYMV disease resistance. The molecular analysis was carried out at Molecular Biology Laboratory of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, VOC Agricultural College and Research Institute, Killikulam, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University during 2020–2022.

86 **2.2. Crossing block and hybridization**

87 During *rabi* 2020, the parents were raised in crossing block. The male and female parents were raised in 3 m rows with 20 plants each, spaced 30 × 10 cm apart. The female lines' flower buds were selected for emasculation. For identification, each emasculated flower bud was tagged. The stigma of previously emasculated flowers was sprinkled with anthers from recently opened male flowers. Bagging the pollinated flowers allowed for easy identification and protection.

92 **2.3. Mapping population development**

93 Identified F_1s were allowed to selfing to raise F_2 followed by $F_{2:3}$ derived single plants (60 number) were raised in ridges and furrows in a row spacing of 30cm x10cm with frequent rows of MYMV susceptible check CO 5 to attract white flies and to increase infection of MYMV under field screening during summer 2021. No insecticide was sprayed to maintain the natural whitefly populations. The MYMV disease score is recorded on 60 days after sowing by using a phenotype rating scale from 1 (resistant) to 9 (highly susceptible) as suggested by Alice and Nadarajan (2007) (**Table 1**). The MYMV disease reaction as follows, resistant (scale 1.0 to scale 2.0); moderately resistant (scale 2.1 to scale 4); moderately susceptible (scale 4.1 to scale 5); susceptible (scale 5.1 to scale 7); highly susceptible (scale 7.1 to scale 9).

102 **Table 1. MYMV disease resistant scale in blackgram (Alice and Nadarajan, 2007)**

Sl. No.	Symptoms	Scale
1.	No visible symptoms on leaves or very minute yellow specks on leaves.	1
2.	Small yellow specks with restricted spread covering 0.1 to 5% leaf area	2
3.	Yellow mottling of leaves covering 5.1 to 10% leaf area.	3
4.	Yellow mottling of leaves covering 10.1 to 15% leaf area.	4
5.	Yellow mottling and discoloration of 15.1 to 30% leaf area.	5
6.	Yellow discoloration of 30.1 to 50 % leaf area.	6
7.	Pronounced yellow mottling and discoloration of leaves and pods, reduction in leaf size and stunting of plants covering 50.1 to 75% foliage.	7
8.	Severe yellow discoloration of leaves covering 75.1 to 90% of foliage, stunting of plants and reduction in pod size.	8

9.	Severe yellow discoloration of entire leaves covering above 90.1% of foliage, stunting of plants and no pod formation.	9

103

104 **2.4. Molecular analysis**

105 Genomic DNA of parents was extracted from 2-3 weeks old leaf tissues of $F_{2:3}$ plants using CTAB
106 method (Saghai-Maroof *et al.* 1984). The quality and quantity of DNA was analysed by 0.8% agarose gel
107 electrophoresis. PCR amplification was performed by using 50 MYMV specific SSR primers
108 **(Supplementary Table 1)** from the previous study based on high polymorphism (Sathees, 2019). For
109 every reaction 10µl of reaction mixture was used. The PCR profile was programmed for an initial
110 denaturation of 95˚C for 5 minutes followed by 35 cycles of denaturation for 95˚C for 30 seconds,
111 annealing of 56˚C for 30 seconds, extension of 72˚C for 1 minute and final extension of 72˚C for 7
112 minutes and ends with the final hold for 4˚C. After the samples withdrawal, the PCR products were
113 resolved in 3% agarose gel electrophoresis and visualized in gel documentation system (Bio Rad).

114 **2.5. Bulked Segregant Analysis (BSA)**

115 Bulked Segregant Analysis (BSA) plays a major role in rapid selection of genotypes in mapping
116 population associated to MYMV resistance. In this method two phenotypes *i.e.,* IC 343856 as resistance
117 genotype and ADT 3 as susceptible genotype were used for developing $F_{2:3}$ mapping population. DNA
118 from ten individual plants of resistant and susceptible genotypes from $F_{2:3}$ population were pooled to form
119 a separate resistant and susceptible bulks. The bulks and individual genotypes were analysed along with
120 the parents using the identified polymorphic markers in 3% agarose gel electrophoresis. The amplified
121 products were scored based on the presence and absence of bands.

122 **2.6. Marker Validation**

123 Since excluding pedigree information from the discovery population study may result in spurious
124 relationships between markers and the characteristic of interest, marker validation is required.
125 Unvalidated markers are useless as instruments for marker-assisted selection. The potentiality of the
126 markers was established by comparing the association of the MYMV related markers found in this
127 investigation with those found in earlier related studies.

128 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

129 The screening for MYMV resistance was carried out for 60 F_2 derived F_3 plants of the cross ADT
130 3 x IC 343856. The disease incidence was ensured with the MYMV susceptible check CO 5 for every 2 to
131 3 rows of plants. Field screening was carried out and the results are given in **Table 2.**

132 **Table 2. Field screening for MYMV disease resistance for the $F_{2:3}$ population of ADT 3 x IC 343856**

Sl. No	Genotypes	MYMV Score	Response
1	ADT 3	7	Susceptible
2	IC 343856	1	Resistant
3	CO 5 (Susceptible check)	9	Highly susceptible
4	$F_{2:3}$ genotypes *viz.*, 1, 5, 8, 9, 10	7	Susceptible
5	$F_{2:3}$ genotypes *viz.*, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7	6	Susceptible

6	$F_{2:3}$ genotypes *viz*., 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20	1	Resistant
7	$F_{2:3}$ genotypes *viz*., 11,12, 15, 16	2	Resistant

133

134 **3.1. Parental polymorphism**

135 Among the studied fifty MYMV specific SSR markers, four markers *viz*., CEDG008, CEDG271,
136 CEDG264 and VM6 showed polymorphism (8%) between the parents ADT 3 (Susceptible) x and
137 IC343856 (resistant) while other markers were found to be monomorphic. Similarly, low levels of
138 polymorphism have been reported by Chaitieng *et el.,* (2006), Ragul Subramaniyan *et al.,* (2021) and Nair
139 *et al.,* (2024). Abhishek *et al.* (2024) reported that 20 accessions with MYMIV resistance in cowpea
140 (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). Rolling circle amplification and complete DNA-A genome sequencing of
141 MYMIV were also used by them to characterize the YMD-associated Begomovirus, which had a 99.02%
142 identity overlap with the MYMIV isolate that infected cowpea in Pakistan. The 20 cowpea accessions
143 shown in their study to be novel resistant sources to MYMIV may be used as donors in resistance
144 breeding for yellow mosaic disease as well as for identifying resistance genes.

145 The identified polymorphic markers were used further in the MYMV resistance studies in the
146 present study.

147 **3.2. Bulked Segregant Analysis (BSA)**

148 Bulked Segregant Analysis was done using ten resistant and susceptible genotypes identified
149 under field screening for MYMV resistance. Resistant parent, susceptible parent and their bulks were
150 analysed using the identified four polymorphic markers. Among the studied markers, CEDG008 alone
151 was able to differentiate the resistant and susceptible bulks. CEDG008 showed bands at 120bp for ADT 3
152 (susceptible) and at 110 bp for IC343856 (resistant). From the study, it was found that CEDG008
153 associated to MYMV resistance in the $F_{2:3}$ population of blackgram **(Fig 1)**. Similar results were reported
154 by various authors.

155

156 

157 P_1 – ADT3 (Susceptible) P_2 – IC343856 (Resistant)
158 SB – Susceptible Bulk RB – Resistant Bulk
159 1-10 – Susceptible genotypes 11-20 – Resistant genotypes
160 **Fig 1. Bulked segregant analysis using the SSR marker CEDG008 in $F_{2:3}$ cross ADT 3 x IC343856**

161 Godwin (2024) reported that the SSR markers *viz*., CEDG 141 and VrD1 were able to distinguish
162 the MYMV susceptible parent KKM 1 and MYMV resistant parent VBN 9 through BSA in the F_2
163 population. Similarly, Godwin (2024) reported that, he SSR markers *viz*., CEDG 282 and VrD1 were able

to distinguish the MYMV susceptible parent ADT 6 and MYMV resistant parent MASH 1008 through BSA in the F_2 population.

Sathees *et al.* (2019) studied the SSR markers linked to MYMV resistance in 162 F_2 plants of IC 435566 X KKB14045 in blackgram through BSA. The SSR marker CEDG141 distinguished resistant and susceptible bulks and found to be associated to MYMV resistance. Prasanna (2019) reported that, the marker CEDG305 has the potential to use in identification of YMV resistant genotypes and the parent EC396117 can be successfully used in marker assisted breeding programmes as donor along with the identified marker source in greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Naik *et al.* (2017) studied F_2 individuals of T9 (resistant) × LBG-759 (susceptible) cross to screen and identify the mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistant gene in blackgram using Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR) and Bulk Segregant Analysis (BSA). The study revealed that 12 SSR markers showed polymorphism between the parents among 59 primers. One primer VR9 was able to distinguish the resistant and susceptible bulks and individuals indicated that this marker was tightly linked to mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance gene in blackgram. Rambabu *et al.* (2018) reported SSR marker CEDG185 linked to the YMV resistance in F_2 blackgram population of a cross LGG-759 X T9 using bulked segregant analysis.

A draft reference-guided genome assembly of the black gram genotype "Uttara" (IPU 94-1), which is renowned for its high resistance to Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Disease, was developed by Ambreen *et al.,* (2022). It had a cumulative size of 454 Mb and 28,881 predicted genes, 444 Mb of which were anchored on 11 chromosomes. Karthikeyan et al. (2012) used 35 SSR primers and only 6 primers *viz.,* CEDG 243, CEDG 257, CEDG 115, CEDG 008, CEDG 269 and CEDG 201 (17.14%) showed polymorphism between the parents in greengram and none of these markers were able to distinguish the resistant and susceptible parents in BSA analysis.

3.3. Validation of SSR markers for MYMV resistance

The correlation between MYMV resistance and the SSR marker CEDG 008 was confirmed across a range of susceptible and resistant genotypes (Table 3). For MYMV resistance research in blackgram, CEDG 008 differentiated between susceptible and resistant genotypes. Gomathi (2020) and Narayanan (2021) reported the similar outcome in F_2 and RILs of the cross KKM 1×VBN 6. In the earlier MYMV resistance tests in blackgram, CEDG 008 also shown a favourable polymorphic information content (PIC) value (>0.5) (Sathees *et al.* 2021).

Table 3. Marker validation studies for MYMV resistance in blackgram

Genotypes	Disease reaction to MYMV	MYMV Score	CEDG 008		Pedigree	Reference
			120 bp	110bp		
ADT 3	Susceptible	7	Present ($F_{2:3}$ population)	Absent ($F_{2:3}$ population)	Pureline selection from Tirunelveli local	Present study
IC343856	Resistant	1	Absent ($F_{2:3}$ population)	Present ($F_{2:3}$ population)	Land race, NBPGR, New Delhi, India	
IC 436656	Susceptible	7	Present (RILs)	Absent (RILs)	Land race, NBPGR, New Delhi, India	Sathees *et al.* (2022)
KKB 14045	Resistant	1	Absent (RILs)	Present	PU0620 ×ADT3	

				(RILs)		
KKM 1	Susceptible	4	Absent (F_2 and RILs)	Present (F_2 and RILs)	COBG 653 ×VBN 3	Gomathy (2020) and Narayanan (2021)
VBN 6	Resistant	1	Present (F_2 and RILs)	Absent (F_2 and RILs)	VBN 1 × *Vigna mungo* var. *silvestris*	

RILs – Recombinant Inbred Lines

4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the present research on MYMV resistance study in the segregating population of the cross ADT 3 x IC343856 would be used for MYMV resistance studies in blackgram. The identified potential marker in the present study, CEDG 008 can be used for MYMV resistance studies in blackgram breeding programmes. In order to increase the selection efficiency for MYMV resistance investigations, more molecular markers need to be investigated, and the current study has opened the door for further research in this field.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India for providing the financial support to conduct the work

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

'Author D.S' designed the study, wrote the protocol and performed the statistical analysis, 'Author A.A' wrote the first draft of the manuscript and managed the literature searches. 'Author A.M', 'Author J.L.J' and 'Author S.J' managed the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

Abhishek, G.J., Kuldeep Tripathi., Susheel K. Sharma., Parameshwari, B., Nitika Gupta., Damini Diksha., Amalendu Ghosh., Sunil Archak, Dhammaprakash P., Wankhede, D.D., Deepika, T., Danakumar, K., Kalaiponmani., Vignesh Muthusamy., & V. Celia Chalam. 2024. Identification of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) germplasm accessions resistant to yellow mosaic disease. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding, 84(2): 258-265

Alice, D., & Nadarajan, N. (2007). Screening techniques and assessment methods for disease resistance, Department of Pulses, TNAU. All India Coordinated Research Project on MULLaRP-Tamil Nadu Agricultural University.

Ambreen, H., Oraon, P. K., Wahlang, D. R., Satyawada, R. R., Agarwal, S. K., Agarwal, M., et al. (2022). Long-read-based draft genome sequence of Indian black gram IPU-94-1 'Uttara': Insights into disease resistance and seed storage protein genes. Plant Genome, 15. doi: 10.1002/tpg2.20234

Ammavasai, S., Phogat, D.S., & Solanki, I.S. (2004). Inheritance of resistance to mungbean mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) in green gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding, 64:146–146.

227 Chaitieng, B., Kaga, A., Tomooka, N., Isemura, T., Kuroda, Y., & Vaughan D.A. (2006). Development of a
228 black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) linkage map and its comparison with an adzuki bean
229 (*Vigna angularis* (Willd.) Ohwi and Ohashi) linkage map. Theoritical and Applied Genetics, 113:
230 1261–1269.
231 Godwin, P. (2024). Genetic, biochemical and molecular studies for mung bean mung bean yellow mosaic
232 virus (MYMV) in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). M.Sc., Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural
233 University, Killikulam, Tamil Nadu.
234 Gomathi, D. (2020). Identification of YMV resistance linked SSR markers in segregating population of
235 blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). M.Sc., Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University,
236 Killikulam, Tamil Nadu.
237 Gomathi, D., Shoba, D., Ramamoorthy, V., & Arumugam Pillai, M. (2020). Studies on variability,
238 heritability, correlation and path analysis in segregating population of blackgram (*Vigna mungo*
239 (L.) Hepper). Legume Research, 46(6): 690-694
240 Gupta, S., Kumar S., Singh, R.A., & Chandra, S. (2005). Identification of a single dominant gene for
241 resistance to Mungbean Mung bean yellow mosaic virus in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.)
242 Hepper). SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics, 37:85–89.
243 Karthikeyan, A., Sudha, M., Nagarajan, P., Pandiyan, M., Raveendran, M., Senthil, N., & Angappan, K.
244 (2012). Using SSR marker to identify the MYMV resistance gene in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (l.)
245 Wilczek]. Roman Journal of Biology, 57(2): 99-104.
246 Malik, I.A., Sarwar, G., & Ali, Y. (1986). Genetic studies in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L) Wilczek).
247 Inheritance of tolerance to mungbean mung bean yellow mosaic virus and some morphological
248 characters. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 18:189–198.
249 Michelmore, R.W., Paran, I., & Kesseli, R.V. (1991). Identification of markers linked to disease-resistance
250 genes by bulked segregant analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic
251 regions by using segregating populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
252 88:9828–9832.
253 Naik, B., Jeevula, C.H., Anuradha, P., Ananda Kumar., Sreedhar V., & Sreenivasa Chary. (2017).
254 Identification of simple sequence repeats (SSR) markers linked to mung bean yellow mosaic virus
255 (YMV) resistance in blackgram [*Vigna mungo (L). Hepper*]. Agriculture Update, 12:812-819.
256 Nair, R.M., Sunil Chaudhari., Nagamallika Devi., Aparna Shivanna., Abhishek Gowda., Venkata N.
257 Boddepalli., Hansaraj Pradhan., Roland Schafleitner., Souframanien Jegadeesan., & Prakit
258 Somta. (2024). Genetics, genomics and breeding of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper).
259 Frontiers in Plant Science, 14: doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1273363

260 Narayanan, M. (2021). Genetics of mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance in black gram (*Vigna*
261 *mungo* (L.) Hepper). M.Sc., Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Killikulam, Tamil Nadu.
262 Nene, Y.L. (1972). A survey of viral diseases of pulse crops in Uttar Pradesh, In book: Legumes pp. 87.

263 Prasanna, B.V.L. (2019). Molecular tagging of mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance in mung bean
264 (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). M.Sc., Thesis. Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology,
265 S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati ANGRAU, Andhra Pradesh.
266 Priya, L., Arumugam Pillai, M., & Shoba, D. (2021). Genetic divergence, variability and correlation studies
267 in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (*L.*) Hepper). Legume Research, **44**(1): 36-40
268 Ragul Subramaniyan., Manivannan Narayana., Ganapathy Natarajan., & Karthikeyan Gandhi. (2022).
269 Novel and stable QTL regions conferring resistance to MYMV disease and its inheritance in
270 blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper), Journal of Genetics, 101:18.
271 Rambabu, E., Anuradha C.H., Sridhar, V., & Sokka Reddy S. (2018). Identification of molecular markers
272 linked to mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance in blackgram (*Vigna mungo (L.)* Hepper).
273 International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7(2): 3810-3817.
274 Reddy, K.S. (2009). A new mutant for mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance in mungbean (*Vigna*
275 *radiata* (L.) Wilczek) variety SML-668 by recurrent gamma-ray irradiation. Induced Plant
276 Mutations in the Genomics Era. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation, Rome
277 pp.361–362.
278 Reddy. K.R., & Singh, D.P. (1995). Inheritance of resistance to mungbean mung bean yellow mosaic
279 virus. Madras Agricultural Journal, 88:199–201.
280 Saghai-Maroof, M.A., Soliman, K.M., Allard Jorgensen, R., & Allard, R.W.L. (1984). Ribosomal DNA
281 spacer-length polymorphisms in barley: Mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location, and
282 population dynamics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 81 (24):8014-8018.
283 Saleem, M., Haris, W.A., & Malik, I.A. (1998). Inheritance of mung bean yellow mosaic virus in mungbean
284 (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology, 10:30–32.
285 Sathees, N. (2019). Identification of SSR markers linked to YMV resistance in blackgram [*Vigna mungo*
286 (l.) Hepper]. M.Sc (Ag) Thesis in Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Plant Breeding and
287 Genetics, Agricultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Killikulam.
288 Sathees, N., Shoba, D., Saravanan, S., Merina Perm Kumari, S., & Arumugam Pillai, M. (2019). Studies
289 on genetic variability, association and path coefficient analysis in blackgram (*Vigna mungo L.*
290 *Hepper*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 8 (6):1892-1899.
291 Sathees, N., Shoba, D., Saravanan, S., Merina Perm Kumari, S., & Arumugam Pillai, M. (2021).
292 Assessment of genetic diversity of blackgram (*Vigna mungo L. Hepper*) collections for mung bean
293 yellow mosaic virus resistance using simple sequence repeat markers. Legume Research, 44
294 (7):743-750.
295 Sathees, N., Shoba, D., Narayanan Mani., Saravanan, S., Merina Prem Kumari, S., & Arumugam Pillai,
296 M. (2022). Tagging of SSR markers associated to mung bean yellow mosaic virus resistance in
297 black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Euphytica, 218(3): 1-11.
298 Shukla, G.P., & Pandya, B.P. (1985). Resistance to yellow mosaic in green gram. SABRAO Journal,
299 17:165–177.
300 Singh, R.K., & Chaudhary, B.D. (1979). In book: Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis, pp.
301 82.

302 Singh, S.K., & Singh, M.N. (2006). Inheritance of resistance to mungbean mung bean yellow mosaic virus
303 in mungbean. Indian Journal of Pulses Research, 19:21.
304 Souframanien, J., & Reddy, K.S. (2015). De novo assembly, characterization of immature seed
305 transcriptome and development of genic-SSR markers in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper).
306 PLoS ONE, 10: e01287.
307 Thakur, R.P., Patel, P.N., & Verma, J.P. (1977). Genetical relationships between reactions to bacterial
308 leaf spot, yellow mosaic and *Cercospora* leaf spot diseases in mungbean (*Vigna radiata*).
309 Euphytica, 26:765–774.
310 Tóth, G., Gaspari, Z., & Jurka, J. (2000). Microsatellites in different eukaryotic genomes: Survey and
311 analysis. Genome Research, 10:967-81.
312 Usharani, K.S., Surendranath, B., Haq, Q.M., & Malathi, V.G. (2004). Mung bean yellow mosaic virus
313 infecting soybean in northern India is distinct from the species infecting soybean in southern and
314 western India. Current Science, 25:845–850.
315 Xu, R.Q., Tomooka, N., Vaughan, D.A. & Doi K. (2000). The *Vigna angularis* complex: genetic variation
316 and relationships revealed by RAPD analysis and their implications for in-situ conservation and
317 domestication. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 46: 136 -145.
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335

336 **Supplementary Table 1. List of SSR markers used for MYMV resistance studies in blackgram**
337 **(Sathees, 2019)**

Sl No	SSR MARKERS	SEQUENCES
1	CEDG245(F)	CATCTTCCTCACCTGCATTC
	CEDG245(R)	TTTGGTGAAGATGACAGCCC
2	VM12(F)	TTGTCAGCGAAATAAGCAGAG
	VM12(R)	CAACAGCAGACGCCCAACT
3	VM6(F)	GAGGAGCCATATGAAGTGAAAAT
	VM6(R)	TCGGCCAGCAACAGATGC
4	VM9(F)	ACCGCACCCGATTTATTTCAT
	VM9(R)	ATCAGCAGACAGGCAAGACCA
5	VM25(F)	CCACAATCACCGATGTCCAA
	VM25(R)	CAATTCCACTGCGGGACATAA
6	VM40(F)	TATTACGAGAGGCTATTTATTGCA
	VM40(R)	CTCTAACACCTCAAGTTAGTGATC
7	DMB SSR160(F)	TAGAGCCTTCTGGTTTTTCACA
	DMB SSR160(R)	AGGAGGAGGATTTTGATGATGA
8	CEDG026(F)	TCAGCAATCACTCATGTGGG
	CEDG026(R)	TGGGACAAACCTCATGGTTG
9	CEDG286(F)	CGAGCAGAACACTGATCATG
	CEDG286(R)	CCTCTTAGAGGTCATTGCTC
10	CEDG006(F)	AATTGCTCTCGAACCAGCTC
	CEDG006(R)	GGTGTACAAGTGTGTGCAAG
11	CEDG008(F)	AGGCGAGGTTTCGTTTCAAG
	CEDG008(R)	GCCCATATTTTTACGCCCAC
12	CEDG271(F)	GCACTAAAGTTAGACGTGGTTC
	CEDG271(R)	CACTCCCACTGCCAAACAAGG
13	CEDG198(F)	CAAGGAAGATGGAGAGAATC
	CEDG198(R)	CCTTCTAAGAACAGTGACATG
14	CEDG048(F)	TCTCTTCCTCTATGGCTTGG
	CEDG048(R)	GCTCCTCTTTTTGCTGCATC
15	CEDG016(F)	TTAGTTCACTCCGCTTGGTC
	CEDG016(R)	CACGTCATCCTCTGTTAGAC
16	CEDG018(F)	AGCGTGTTTGTGGTGATAGC
	CEDG018(R)	ACACAGGAACGAACAAACCC
17	CEDG253(F)	CACTTCCATGATGATGACTCACC
	CEDG253(R)	CACCCTTCTTTATCCTCTTCG
18	CEDG021(F)	GCAGAATTTTAGCCACCGAG
	CEDG021(R)	AAAGGATGCGAGAGTGTAGC
19	VR1(F)	AGCCCTTCGTGCTAGGAAAT

	VR1(R)	CCCTACCGGTTGGTTGGT
20	VR155(F)	AAGATCACACACAACCAACCC
	VR155(R)	AATTAGTTCCACAGGCCAGATT
21	CEDG204(F)	CCTTGGTTGGAGCAGCAGC
	CEDG204(R)	CACAGACACCCTCGCGATG
22	CEDG139(F)	CAAACTTCCGATCGAAAGCGCTTG
	CEDG139(R)	GTTTCTCCTCAATCTCAAGCTCCG
23	CEDG268(F)	CATCTCCCTGAAACTTGTG
	CEDG268(R)	GCTATCAATCGAGTGCAG
24	CEDG030(F)	TGAGGGAATGGGAGAGAGGC
	CEDG030(R)	TCCGCAGATAGAGGCTCACG
25	CEDG092(F)	TCTTTTGGTTGTAGCAGGATGAAC
	CEDG092(R)	TACAAGTGATATGCAACGGTTAGG
26	CEDG022(F)	AGGAATGTGAGATTTG
	CEDG022(R)	AATCGCTTCAAGGTCAAGCC
27	CEDG024(F)	CATCTTCCTCACCTGCATTC
	CEDG024(R)	TTTGGTGAAGATGACAGCCC
28	CEDG013(F)	CGTTCGAGTTTCTTCGATCG
	CEDG013(R)	ACCATCCATCCATTCGCATC
29	DMB-SSR182(F)	TAGAGCCTTCTGGTTTTTCACA
	DMB-SSR182(R)	AGGAGGAGGATTTTGATGATGA
30	CEDG133(F)	GCATACATAATGTGGTGAGATG
	CEDG133(R)	GTCTCGTGCCTTTCACAC
31	CEDG141(F)	CCAGGCATCCATGATGACC
	CEDG141(R)	GAAGTTGTTGGTAATGGTTGCCTC
32	CEDG225(F)	GAGGAAGTGTTGCAGCACC
	CEDG225(R)	GAGGAAGTGTTGCAGCACC
33	CEDG284(F)	GGTGCTAACGTTGGAAACTGAG
	CEDG284(R)	CACTCCATTCTGAGGATCAATCC
34	CEDG127(F)	GGTTAGCATCTGAGCTTCTTCGTC
	CEDG127(R)	CTCCTCACTTGGTCTGAAACTC
35	CEDG014(F)	GCTTGCATCACCCATGATTC
	CEDG014(R)	AAGTGATACGGTCTGGTTCC
36	CEDG020(F)	TATCCATACCCAGCTCAAGG
	CEDG020(R)	GCCATACCAAGAAAGAGG
37	CEDG067(F)	AGACTAAGTTACTTGGGCAACCAG
	CEDG067(R)	TGACGGCCCGGCTCTCC
38	CEDG059(F)	AGAAAAGGGTGGCCTCGTTG
	CEDG059(R)	GCAGGCATTTCCATCGCAG
39	CEDG112(F)	GCAATATTCGCATTATTCATTCA
	CEDG112(R)	GTGTTTCAAAGCACTATACTTAA

40	CEDG269(F)	CTGTTACGGCACCTGGAAAG
	CEDG269(R)	GCAGAGACACACCTTAACCTTG
41	CEDG011(F)	GTCCGACTTTATGTGTGGAG
	CEDG011(R)	TTTCTAGTTCCAGCCCCGAC
42	CEDG056(F)	TTCCATCTATAGGGGAAGGGAG
	CEDG056(R)	GCTATGATGGAAGAGGGCATGG
43	CEDG044(F)	TCAGCAACCTTGCATTGCAG
	CEDG044(R)	TTTCCCGTCACTCTTCTAGG
44	VrCSSSR3(F)	GCAGACACAACCATAAATCC
	VrCSSSR3(R)	GGTCTTTGACGGCAATCTC
45	CEDG180 (F)	GGTATGGAGCAAAACAATC
	CEDG180 (R)	GTGCGTGAAGTTGTCTTATC
46	CEDG073(F)	CCCCGAAATTCCCCTACAC
	CEDG073(R)	AACACCCGCCTCTTTCTCC
47	CEDG075(F)	CGACCTCGAAAATGGTGGTTT
	CEDG075(R)	CACCAACTCACTCGCTCACTG
48	CEDG091(F)	CTGGTGGAACAAAGCAAAAGAGT
	CEDG091(R)	TGGGTCTTGGTGCAAAGAAGAAA
49	CEDG097 (F)	GTAAGCCGCATCCATAATTCCA
	CEDG097 (R)	TGCGAAAGAGCCGTTAGTAGAA
50	CEDG116 (F)	AACATCAACTCCAGTCTCACCAAA
	CEDG116 (R)	CTGCCAAAGATGGACAACTTGGAC

338

339