

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_127410
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ANTIARTHRITIC PROTECTIVE EFFECT OF ERLANGEA TOMENTOSA (OLIV. &HIERN) S. MOORE (ASTERACEAE) METHANOL LEAF EXTRACT IN COMPLETE FREUND'S ADJUVANT-INDUCED ARTHRITIS IN RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is there any specific reason for using cold maceration process instead of hot percolation process (soxhlet apparatus)2. How test doses are selected.3. Why only male rats are selected for the study.4. In arthritic control body weight from 7th day to 14th day only 1 gram was increased?5. For body weight parameter significance value not mentioned6. Mention the unit for paw diameter.7. If possible, add pics of paw from CFA control animals (First day and last day)8. Reason for comparing test group vs normal control in paw volume.9. When the paw volume was increased from 2.92 to 3.73 in normal control animals, then how clinical score is zero10. With what mechanism diclofenac restores the liver and kidney biomarkers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chetan B. Savant
Department, University & Country	SET's College of Pharmacy, India