

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_127645
Title of the Manuscript:	LSTM-GNN Synergy: A New Frontier in Stock Price Prediction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it introduces a novel framework, validated through comprehensive comparisons with state-of-the-art methods. However, further exploration of recent methodologies and deeper comparative analysis with cutting-edge techniques could enhance its overall impact and scientific depth.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a general overview of the study's objectives and outcomes. However, it could be improved by briefly mentioning the specific methodologies used in the research, as this would help clarify the approach. Additionally, highlighting the significance of the findings or the potential implications for future work could add more context to the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript seems scientifically correct, with a well-structured approach to the research topic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript presents a solid approach to the topic, with a clear methodology and well-structured arguments. However, it would benefit from a more thorough comparison with recent advancements in the field and some refinement in language to enhance its clarity and impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kirti Sharma
Department, University & Country	School of Computer Application, Lovely Professional University, India